REGISTERED No. 61—1871.

The Bombay Gazette.

No. 7,280.—New Series. BOMBAY:—SATURDAY, JUNE 8, 1872. Subscription in Advance { For a Year .....Rs. 42 / For Six Months.. ...Rs. 24 } Including Postage.

THE BOMBAY GAZETTE.

The Rates of Subscription to the *Gazette*, in advance, for Town and Country, are now on the following reduced scale:—

One Year, in advance	Rs. 42
Half Year ,,	,, 24
Three Months ,,	,, 14
One Month ,,	,, 5

For a Weekly Subscription, in advance, of Rs. 1-8-0, the *Gazette* is now delivered each morning at any address in town, or posted free to any address Up-country.

TERMS FOR ADVERTISEMENTS.

Ten Lines and under—

First insertion	Rs. 5 0
Second do	,, 2 8
Third do	,, 2 8
Fourth and succeeding insertions	,, 0 2½ As.

line, but no Advertisement counted as less than eight lines.

LONG ADVERTISEMENTS.

First insertion	8 As. per Line.
Second do	4½ ,, ,,
Third and succeeding	2½ ,, ,,

In the Native Languages, double the above.

For Advertisements which are to be inserted for a considerable time, special contracts may be made.

Domestic Occurrences: Each—Rupee 1.

All Cheques, Money Orders, and other Remittances, should be made payable to the "Proprietor of the *Bombay Gazette*."

SHIPPING.

OVERLAND ROUTE.

On Friday, the 14th June, at 3 P.M., from Mazagon.

THE P. & O. Co.'s S. P. KHEDIVE, (3,742 tons, and 6-0 H.P.) Captain J. D. Steward, will LEAVE for SUEZ as above, carrying H. M.'s Mails, also Passengers and Cargo for that Port, Brindisi, Southampton, &c.

Cargo can also be booked through to Messina, Naples, Leghorn, Genoa, Trieste, Venice, Odessa, Constantinople, Ancona, Marseilles, Havre, Liverpool, London and New York.

No Cargo can be received on board after sunset on the day previous to sailing.

The Company reserve the option of forwarding all Goods shipped by their steamers for Europe, through Egypt, either by Rail, or by Canal in their own steamers, or in vessels employed for the purpose.

Passengers are requested to send their heavy baggage to Mazagon before 10 A.M. on the day of the Steamer's departure, in order that it may be weighed and labelled previous to shipment.

A small Steamer will leave the New Dock Head at Mazagon at 3 P.M., precisely, on the day of sailing.

GEORGE F. HENRY, Superintendent.

STEAM TO CEYLON, PENANG, STRAITS, CHINA, AND JAPAN.

On Monday, the 17th June, at 3 P.M., from Mazagon.

THE P. and O. Co.'s S. S. TRAVANCORE (1,900 tons and 400 H.P.), Captain C. H. Eastley, will be despatched as above, carrying H. M.'s Mails, and taking also Passengers and Cargo for Madras and Calcutta.

No Cargo will be received on board after sunset on the day previous to sailing, and no brokerage will be allowed on any chest of opium, the officer's receipt for which is not lodged in the Company's office before noon, on Friday, the 14th June.

GEORGE F. HENRY, Superintendent.

EXTRA STEAMER TO CHINA.

THE S.S. EMEU (1,538 tons and 300 H.P.), Captain Geo. Lee, will be despatched to CHINA on MONDAY, the 10th June, at noon, calling at Singapore.

For Freight or Passage apply to

GEORGE F. HENRY,
Superintendent P. and O. Company.

"WILSON LINE."

LIVERPOOL VIA SUEZ CANAL.

TO SAIL ABOUT 10TH JUNE.

THE fine S.S. YEDDO, 1,800 Tons, 250 H.P. nominal, Captain J. P. A. Anderson, will be despatched as above. She has excellent accommodation for 1st and 2nd Class Passengers, and carries a Surgeon and Stewardess.

FARES EXCLUDING WINES, &c.

TO LIVERPOOL, 1st Class		Rs. 500
Ditto.	2nd ,,	,, 300
TO SUEZ	1st ,,	,, 300

For plans and further particulars apply to

GRINDLAY, GROOM, & Co., Bombay.

N.B.—To be followed by the XANTHO.

STEAM COMMUNICATION WITH ITALY.

(VIA SUEZ CANAL.)

ITALIAN STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY of Messrs. R. Rubattino & Co., GENOA.

The regular Steamers leave

GENOA	and	BOMBAY
on the 24th,		on the 1st
of each month.		

PASSENGER RATES.

Inclusive of all Transit Fees through the Suez Canal.)

To	1st Class.	2nd Class.	3rd Class.	
Aden	£22	£15	£4	
Suez	35	22	8	Including Wines.
Port Said	38	24	9	
Alexandria	39	25	9-10	
Messina	50	34	14	
Naples	50	34	14	
Leghorn	50	34	14	
Genoa	50	34	14	
Marseilles (transhipment at Genoa)	52	35	14-10	Without Food.

Children under 2 years, free of charge.

Children not above 10 years, half fare.

Next Steamers from Bombay:—

ARABIA, on MONDAY, 1st July 1872.

PERSIA, on THURSDAY, 1st August 1872.

The exact hour of departure will be fixed and published a day or two previous to sailing.

For Hand-books of Information, Rates of Freight, &c., apply to

VOLKART BROTHERS,
Agents Rubattino Steam Navigation Company.

Bombay, 30th September 1871.

CONSIGNEES of CARGO per S.S. YEDDO, lately arrived from London, are requested, before taking delivery of their Goods, to PRODUCE INVOICES, and to PAY a DEPOSIT of ONE PER CENT. on the value. The Average Bond is now lying at our Office for signature.

W. & A. GRAHAM & Co.,
Agents S.S. Yeddo.

Bombay, 3rd June 1872.

WM. WATSON AND CO.,
GENERAL AGENTS,
23, APOLLO STREET, FORT,
BOMBAY.

CURSETJEE COWASJEE, WATCH-MAKER to the G. I. P. RAILWAY, No. 11, Meadow Street, has lately RECEIVED from last MAIL—

Silver English Watches by Charles J. Hill, Silver Swiss Watches by John Bignell, Silver Swiss Repeaters by John Barrell, Gold Swiss Watches by John Barrell, inside Moon Days and Dates, second independent.

Silver and Gold Keyless Watches, by different Makers, both for Ladies and Gentlemen.

Soldiers' Watches, Musical Boxes, Snuff-Box with Singing Bird, Gold Ear-rings, Lockets, and Chain, warranted 9 carats to 18 carats. Warranted fine Silver Chat s. Repairs of all kinds, undertaken and completed at the shortest notice possible.

American Octagon Clocks and Watches, Time-piece, warranted for one year.

A set of exquisitely-worked Coral Jewellery for Ball-dress, consisting of Bracelet, Brooch, Necklace, and Coronet, &c.; now on view at the shop of the Undersigned, to be sold very cheap.

Orders from Up-country Stations punctually attended to, if accompanied with remittance.

CURSETJEE COWASJEE,
Watchmaker to the G. I. P. Railway Company.

ADVERTISING AT RAILWAY STATIONS.

THE Proprietor of the "Bombay Gazette"

Press having leased (among other privileges) from the Great Indian Peninsula Railway Company, and the Bombay, Baroda, and Central India Railway Company, the exclusive right of advertising at all the Railway Stations belonging to those two Companies, is prepared to contract with parties desirous of posting Notices or Advertisements on the lines.

The announcements may be in English or in any of the Native languages.

The advantages which Railway Stations present as a means of bringing intimations of all kinds before the Public have been demonstrated in England by the extensive use which is made by Advertisers, of every station, great and small, along every line in the United Kingdom.

Insurance Companies, Shipping Companies, Hotel-keepers, Merchants, Traders, and others who wish to force their business, on the notice of the Public, all alike value the privilege of Railway Station Advertising.

There is no reason why the privilege should not be also especially valuable to Advertisers in India. Looking to the great and increasing number of Native Railway Passengers on the two trunk lines of Western India, native merchants, traders, &c., seeing that they have the privilege of advertising in their own language, would find the publication of their announcements at Railway Stations a decided means of extending their business.

And as nearly All Travellers arriving in India now land at Bombay, and proceed by the G. I. P. or B. B. and C. I. Railways to their destination, Placards exhibited at the different Stations on those lines are every day becoming more and more valuable as means of bringing the Advertisers' business to the notice of the Public.

Advertisers can select the Stations, on either line, at which they wish their Placards posted, and may advertise for any period that may be agreed on. The charges have been fixed on an extremely moderate scale to encourage Advertisers to make a liberal use of the new means now offered of making announcements known to the Public.

Placards, according to the taste of Advertisers, may be printed at the "Bombay Gazette" Press.

D. HOGARTH & CO.'S
CELEBRATED
PRESERVED PROVISIONS,
Ready for immediate use.

Real Turtle, Mock Turtle, Hare, Ox Tail, Julienne and Palestine Soups.

Scotch Salmon, Salmon Cutlets.

Kippered Salmon.

Fresh Herrings, Mackarel, Findon Haddocks, Lobsters, Oysters, Sardines.

Preserved Meats of all kinds.

York Hams, Bacon.

Truffled Patés, Oxford Sausages, Bologna Sausages.

Pickles, Sauces.

Bottled Fruits, Table Jellies, Jams, Jellies and Scotch Marmalades, Dessert Fruits.

And Gilman's Stores of every description and of the finest quality Price Lists forwarded on application to

D. HOGARTH & Co.,
"BON ACCORD" WHARF, 229, WAPPING, LONDON, and at ABERDEEN.

Manufacturers by special appointment to H. M.'s Navy at the Royal Victoria Yard, DEPTFORD.

NEW ADVERTISEMENTS.

☞ LAST NIGHT OF BUKAVLEE.

VICTORIA THEATRICAL COMPANY

TO-NIGHT, SATURDAY, the 8th JUNE.

GOOLAY BUKAVLEE,

To be followed by a Charming Exhibition of

FLYING AND SINGING FAIRIES!

THE DELHI AND LONDON BANK (LIMITED) grants LOANS and CASH CREDITS on approved PERSONAL and other SECURITIES on the usual Terms.

Loans on Personal Securities, repayable by Monthly Instalments, must be covered by a Life Policy.

For further particulars apply to the MANAGER at DELHI.

GREAT INDIAN PENINSULA RAILWAY.—WATERPROOF CLOTHING.—OFFERS will be received by the Agent at his Office, Elphinstone Circle, up to noon, on TUESDAY next, the 11th instant, for as many SUITS of WATERPROOF CLOTHING, as the Company may require, up to 200.

A sample Suit to accompany each offer.

ALFRED KING,
Acting Storekeeper.

Storekeeper's Office, Byculla, 7th June 1872.

BOMBAY SCOTTISH EDUCATION SOCIETY.

The Schools of the above Society RE-OPEN on MONDAY, 10th June.

BYCULLA SCHOOL.

Master—Mr. J. McDonald, } With Assistants.
Mistress—Mrs. J. McDonald, }

FORT SCHOOL—8, HORNBY ROW.

Master—Mr. D. B. Lumsden, } With Assistants.
Mistress—Miss Collett, }

Music Master—Herr Schmuck.

D. C. BOYD, } Hon. Secretaries.
JOHN PATON, }

NOTICE is hereby given, that JOSEPH MARIA DE PENHA, JANE IZABELLA PHILLIPS, and GEORGE FRANCIS PHILLIPS have contracted to sell to M. A. MESQUITA all that piece of LAND, with the messuage, or dwelling-house standing thereon, situate on the east side of Breach Candy Road, in the Out called Nagdevee, in the Sub-district of Bhoolesh wur, in the Island of Bombay, containing by admeasurement 119 4-9th square yards, and assessed by the Collector of Assessment under No. 391. All persons having any Claims on or against the said property, by way of inheritance, mortgage, or otherwise, are required to make the same known, in writing, to the Undersigned, within fourteen days from this day, otherwise the purchase will be completed without reference to such Claim, if any there be, which will be treated as abandoned.—Dated 6th June 1872.

M. A. MISQUITA.

BETTS'S CAPSULE PATENTS.

To prevent infringements, notice is hereby given, that Betts's Name is on every Capsule he makes for the principal Merchants in England and France.

thus enabling vendor, purchaser, and consumer not only to identify the genuineness of the capsule, but likewise the contents of the vessel to which it is applied.

The LORD CHANCELLOR, in his judgment, said that the capsules are not used merely for the purpose of the ornament, but that they are serviceable in protecting the wine from injury, and insuring its genuineness.

Manufactories:—1, Wharf-road, City-road, London, and Bordeaux, France.

DIAPHANIE.

Any person of ordinary skill and taste may, by this invention, produce a decoration equal in appearance to the

Most Beautiful and Costly Stained Glass.

Diaphanie is applicable to windows of all shapes, lamp shades, screens, and all other uses for which stained glass can be employed, the materials are inexpensive, and the operation simple and cleanly.

Brushes each As. 10; Rollers each Rs. 1-12; Necessary Varnishes, &c., from As. 12 per bottle; Sheets of Design from Rs. 2 each.

THACKER, VINING, & Co.

CUTLER, PALMER & Co. beg to call the attention of their Constituents to a most excellent "Bitter" that is now in great demand in London and known as "KILL THE CROW," a Scotch phrase significant of tonic and reviving properties.

Price Rs. 24 per dozen quart case, sample cases of which they will be happy to submit.

Dean Lane, Bombay, 6th June 1872.

Choice
MOURNING NOTE PAPERS,
Cream or Violet Laid,
With four depths of border.

	Cash. Rs. a.	Credit. Rs. a.
"OCTAVO" (7 by 4½ inches), packet of 5 Quires, with box of 100 *Square* Envelopes	4 8	5 0
"ALBERT" (6½ by 4 inches), do. do.	4 0	4 8
"QUEEN'S" (5½ by 3½ inches) do. do.	3 8	4 0

De La Rue & Co.'s fine Stationery,
Of every description, on sale by
TREACHER & Co. (Limited).

YARROW & HEDLEY'S
SMALL STEAMERS and STEAM LAUNCHES,
BUILT OF WOOD, IRON, OR STEEL.

23 feet long...seats for 8 persons...complete from £160 to £225.
30 feet long...seats for 14 persons...complete from £215 to £293
37 feet long...seats for 20 persons...complete from £270 to £360
43 feet long...seats for 30 persons...complete from £360 to £500
50 feet long...seats for 60 persons...complete from £515 to £800
&c. &c.

Steamers up to 45 feet in length can be transported on a ship's deck entire, and ready for immediate use on arrival, or shipped in 3 or more sections.

The above may be used for conveying passengers, carrying cargo, or as tugs.

The total working expenses of a steamer 43 feet long, amount to 15 shillings a day in England; the consumption of fuel being about 6 cwt., and a man and a boy the crew required.

Paddle and Screw Steamers constructed specially for river navigation, having a very shallow draught of water.

MACHINERY SUPPLIED FOR BOATS BUILT ABROAD.

YARROW & HEDLEY,
ENGINEERS AND BUILDERS, ISLE OF DOGS, POPLAR, LONDON.

Prospectuses may be obtained at the Office of this Journal.

GRIMAULT AND CO.'S
(CHEMISTS TO THE COURT,
8, RUE VIVIENNE, PARIS.)
FRENCH MÉDICINES.

WOMEN'S AND CHILDREN'S DISEASES.
GRIMAULT AND CO.'S
SYRUP OF IODIZED HORSE RADISH.

Patronized for more than Twenty Years by the Paris Physicians Cures lymphatism, rachitism, scrofula, congestion of the glands of the neck, paleness and flabbiness of the flesh, loss of appetite, weakness of constitution, the various eruptions on the face, boils, pimples, and itchings.—It is the best remedy against the first stage of consumption, and it is the most powerful depurative known.

DR. LERAS'
SOLUBLE PHOSPHATE OF IRON.

Clear as spring water and tasteless; has the advantage over all ferruginous preparations of containing Iron and Phosphorus, the two elements of blood. It cures chlorosis, pains in the stomach, difficult digestions, dismenorhea, anæmia, general debility and poorness of the blood, and agrees especially with the most delicate stomachs.

LUNG DISEASES.
GRIMAULT AND CO.'S
SYRUP OF HYPOPHOSPHITE OF LIME.

It is the best and the most rational of all remedies against consumption. Under its influence the cough abates, the night sweats cease, and the patient rapidly recovers health. It gives the same results in cases of coughs, catarrhs, hooping-coughs, and influenza. In comparing this Syrup with the other sold under the same name, it will be easy to recognise the superiority of this preparation.

Therefore, to avoid any substitution, please to require on the bottle the signature: *Grimault and Co.*

NERVOUS HEAD ACHE AND NEURALGIA.
GRIMAULT AND CO.'S
GUARANA.

A single powder of this natural vegetable production is sufficient to cure instantly the most violent sick headache. It is the most valuable remedy against diarrhœa.

GRIMAULT AND CO.'S
MATICO,
CAPSULES AND LIQUID.

Where all other medicines have failed, these preparations will always effect a cure. They insure rapid and extraordinary cure of severe, recent, and chronic cases of private disease. They are found greatly superior to all hitherto known mineral remedies and to copaiba and cubeb. Require the signature: *Grimault & Co.*

GRIMAULT AND CO.'S
DIGESTIVE POWDERS, PILLS, AND ELIXIR OF
PEPSINE,

Of certain effect against nausea, pituite, heart-burn, gastritis gastralgia, children's diarrhœa, inflammation of the mucous coats of the stomach and bowels, vomiting during pregnancy, jaundice, etc.

ASTHMA.
GRIMAULT AND CO.'S
INDIAN CIGARETTES OF CANNABIS INDICA.

Recent experiments in France, England, and Germany, have proved that these cigarettes are a sovereign remedy for the above distressing affections, especially when Belladona, Stramonium, and Opium have failed to give relief.

AGENTS:

NOSKY & Co	Calcutta.
TREACHER & Co.	Bombay. Poona.
BARRIE & Co.	Madras.

AND AT ALL THE RESPECTABLE CHEMISTS.

McCABE'S WATCHES.

CAUTION TO PURCHASERS.

Watches of very inferior quality NOT made by JAMES McCABE, but fraudulently marked with his name, are now being offered for sale in India and elsewhere. Purchasers are advised to exercise caution, and to buy only of respectable traders, or to remit direct by Bank draft or otherwise. Price Lists forwarded on application, post free.

JAMES McCABE.

32, Cornhill, London.

NOTICES.

THEATRE ROYAL, GRANT ROAD.

SATURDAY, 8TH JUNE.

MR. AND MRS. BENNEE,

AND

THE MEMBERS OF THE BOMBAY DRAMATIC SOCIETY,

BY SPECIAL DESIRE IN

THE LADY OF LYONS.

BOX PLAN MAY BE SEEN, AND TICKETS SECURED AT MESSRS. SOUNDY & Co.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL.—Mrs. C. LAUDER, MILLINER and DRESS-MAKER, has REMOVED to No. 27, Meadow Street, the house formerly occupied by Rao Sahebe, and opposite the Oriental Bank Corporation.

NOTICE.—During the REPAIR of the TIME SIGNAL BALL, which has fallen into disorder, the usual DAILY TIME SIGNAL will be made by hoisting a FLAG over the TOWER, and dropping it exactly at 1 P.M.

CHARLES CHAMBERS,
Superintendent Government Observatory.

Government Observatory, Bombay, 6th June 1872.

ANY PERSON INDEBTED to, or having CLAIMS against, the ESTATE of the late Captain C. E. HANBURY, Royal Artillery, is requested to communicate with the Undersigned within ONE MONTH from the 1st instant, after which no Claims will be admitted.

E. S. MILMAN, Major, R.H.A.,
President, Committee of Adjustment.

Secunderabad, 1st June 1872.

THE ESPLANADE HOTEL.

REDUCED RATES.

DURING the Monsoon months a greatly REDUCED SCALE of CHARGES for BOARD and LODGING will be OFFERED to PARTIES taking Apartments by the Month or for a specified TERM.

W. MAULE, Manager.

BOMBAY FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY (Limited).—The above Company is prepared to EFFECT INSURANCES on the most favourable terms current in Bombay, and in addition returns Insurers 5 per cent. of the Premiums on Yearly Policies, and 2½ per cent. of the Premiums on Policies for Shorter Periods.

FORBES & Co.,
Secretaries and Managers.

THE LAND MORTGAGE BANK of INDIA (Limited) are prepared to SELL, on TERMS likely to meet the views of Investors, the undermentioned PROPERTY:

AT BOMBAY.

A piece of Ground measuring 20,412 square yards, together with the partially built bungalow, out-houses, fruit trees, &c., standing thereon, situate on the sea side at Breach Candy.

If desired, a portion of the purchase money may remain on mortgage for a time at a moderate rate of interest, or arrangements can be made for payment by as many as TEN ANNUAL INSTALMENTS.

For further particulars apply at the Bank's Office in Bombay.

BOMBAY OMNIBUS COMPANY,
KHETWADY ROAD, No. 141.

CARRIAGES of all description, with or without Horses; also SINGLE HORSES and PAIRS, may be HIRED at a MODERATE CHARGE. Agreement made by the month at reduced rates. Horses shod under the supervision of a practical Farrier. Orders will be received at the Premises, Khetwady, by Ms. BURDETT, Manager, or at No. 2, Ash Lane, Fort.

FOR CHARGES, SEE CARD CIRCULARS.

FOR SALE, One MAIL PHAETON and Pair of young Australian HORSES.

THE TELEGRAPH DESPATCH AND INTELLIGENCE COMPANY, LIMITED, with which is incorporated THE LOMBARD TELEGRAPHIC NEWS AGENCY.

Chief Offices: 2, ROYAL EXCHANGE BUILDINGS, LONDON.

PASSENGERS' TELEGRAMS.

Passengers can Telegraph their arrival at Home, together with any Casualty, Birth, or Death arising on the Voyage, to their Friends in India, upon payment of the following Rates, and Registering their Names, either at the Company's Offices (as above) or to their Authorised Agents at Southampton, Liverpool, &c.:—

FOR A MESSAGE TO

BOMBAY	Rs.	10 8
CALCUTTA		10 8
MADRAS		10 8
CEYLON, AND ALL PARTS OF INDIA		12 0

AGENTS.

NEW YORK—The Wall Street Financial and Commercial Bureau.

CALCUTTA.—Messrs. J.T. Livermore & Co., 4, Wellesley Place.

BOMBAY.—Office, 5, Armenian Lane.

Other Ports will shortly be announced.

IN the HIGH COURT of JUDICATURE at BOMBAY.—Late Supreme Court File.—Equity Side.

SHREECRUSTNA NARRONJEE.....Complainant.

vs.

BHAEE PANDRANG, WILKES HILL, Esquire, Acting Official Assignee of the Estate of WASSOODEW PANDRANG, an insolvent, NARRAYEN PANDRANG, TOOKARAM PANDRANG, and RUCKMABAEE, widow of the late Pandrang Pootlajee.....Defendants.

Pursuant to the decretal order made in the above cause and bearing date the 30th day of January 1869, the following IMMOVEABLE PROPERTY will be SOLD by PUBLIC AUCTION before CHARLES PHILIP COOPER, Esquire, Acting Master in Equity of this Honourable Court, at his Office, in the Court House, on WEDNESDAY, the Nineteenth day of June, One Thousand Eight Hundred and Seventy-two, at the hour of three o'clock in the afternoon.

All the Estate and Interest of the abovenamed Shreecrutna Narronjee, Bhaee Pandrang, Wilkes Hill, Esquire, Acting Official Assignee of the Estate of Wassoodew Pandrang, an insolvent, Narrayen Pandrang, Tookaram Pandrang, and Ruckmabaee, widow of the late Pandrang Pootlajee, or any of them, in all that piece or parcel of land or ground, containing by admeasurement one hundred and ten and eight-tenths of a square yard or thereabouts, together with the messuage and building thereon, situate on the north side of Shaikody Lane, near Wittelwady, without the Fort, in the sub-district of Bhoolesh wur, assessed by the Collector of Land Revenue under No. 141, and by the Collector of Municipal Taxes under No. 50, and bounded as follows:—that is to say, on or towards the north by the house of Chintoo Luxumon, on or towards the south by the public road, on or towards the east by the house of Manockbaee, Narrayen Pandrang, Tookaram Pandrang and Ruckmabaee, widow of the late Pandrang Pootlajee.

For further particulars and conditions of sale application may be made at the Office of the said Acting Master, and at the Office of Mr. KHUNDERAO MOROJEE, Solicitor for the complainant abovenamed, No. 3, Meadow Street, Fort.—Dated this 30th day of April 1872.

C. P. COOPER,
Acting Master in Equity.

IN the HIGH COURT of JUDICATURE at BOMBAY.—Ordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction.

Suit No. 330 of 1870.

MANOCKBAEE, wife of RUSTOMJEE BEHERAMJEE, Parsee, residing at No. 44, Hornby Bazaar Street, within the Fort, by RUTTONJEE BICKAJEE, her next friend .....Plaintiff.

vs.

PESTONJEE HORMUSJEE PUSTAGIA, Parsee, residing at No. 21, Bazaar Gate Street, within the Fort, and the said Rustomjee Beheramjee, Parsee, residing at No. 44, Bora Bazaar Street, within the Fort .....Defendant.

Pursuant to the Decree made in the above Suit, and bearing date the Seventeenth day of August, One Thousand Eight Hundred and Seventy-one, the following IMMOVEABLE PROPERTY will be SOLD by PUBLIC AUCTION before CHARLES PHILIP COOPER, Esquire, Acting Commissioner of the High Court, at his Office in the Court House on WEDNESDAY, the twelfth day of June, One Thousand Eight Hundred and Seventy-two, at the hour of three o'clock in the afternoon.

All the estate and interest of the abovenamed Manockbaee, wife of Rustomjee Beheramjee, Pestonjee Hormusjee Pustagia, and Rustomjee Beheramjee, or any of them, in all that piece or parcel of land or ground, with the messuage, tenement or dwelling house and offices built and standing thereon with the appertenances situated on the north side of Girgaum Back Road in the sub-district of Bhooleshwur, and containing by admeasurement five thousand eight hundred and eighty-four and one-ninth of a square yard, be the same a little more or less, and assessed by the Collector of Land Revenue under Nos. 512, 513, and 514, and by the Collector of Municipal Taxes under No. 189, and bounded as follows:—that is to say, on or towards the east by the property and part of Dadabhoy Pallonjee; on or towards the west, partly by the property of Pandoo Dadajee, partly by the property of Jumbhoo Lynch, Attorneys for the Plaintiff abovenamed, 5th Church Lane, within the Fort.—Dated this 19th day of April 1872.

C. P. COOPER,
Acting Commissioner.

BULL'S PATENT HAND DREDGER, for SINKING WELLS through Sand in any position and to any depth.

This Machine is very largely used on the Oudh and Rohilkhund, Punjab Northern State, and other Railways, in many cases in performance to any of any machine.

One of numerous testimonials of its great utility is here given.

Extract of letter, dated Lahore, 3rd April 1872, from the Executive Engineer Punjab Northern (State) Railway, Ravee Bridge Division:—

"I have the pleasure to add that we have obtained the most satisfactory results this season with your Dredgers. The rate of sinking a 12' 6" cylinder at over thirty feet depth has been frequently between six and seven feet a day of twenty-four hours when in clean sand.

"R. J. MALLET, Executive Engineer."

Price of each Machine despatched to any Railway Station in India in direct communication with Lucknow is as follows:—

Of 2 Cubic feet capacity	..	..	..	Rs. 100	0 0
4	,,	,,	,,	,, 125	0 0
6	,,	,,	,,	,, 150	0 0
8	,,	,,	,,	,, 175	0 0
10	,,	,,	,,	,, 200	0 0

Orders to be addressed to

BABOO SHAMA CHURN MOOKERJEE,
Principal Agent for Bull's Patent Hand Dredger, Lucknow.

Agents in other Stations required on a commission of 10 per cent. on all sales effected.

JOB PRINTING in all its BRANCHES.—Ornamental Printing in Colours and in Gold. Bills, Broadsides, Theatrical and Musical Programmes, Cards of all descriptions; Banking and Mercantile Ledgers, Memo. Heads, &c., &c., Machine-ruling and Paging, and Book binding. Estimates forwarded on application to the Manager.

Bombay Gazette Steam Press.

JOONAS TYAB,
CIVIL, MILITARY, AND NAVAL TAILOR,
SHIRT MAKER,
HOSIER, HATTER, AND GENERAL OUTFITTER,
11, HUMMUM STREET, BOMBAY.

JOONAS TYAB

Begs respectfully to inform the Gentry and Public in general that he has now got his Stock replete, and he can safely say that it cannot be surpassed in Bombay.

WOOLEN GOODS.

J. T. can undoubtedly say that his Black and Colored Cloths are equal or superior to any ever shipped to him before.

SHIRTINGS.

Also a large quantity of that beautiful soft and absorbing material Oxford Cloth, likewise Spun Silk, Silk and Wool, Striped and Check Flannels, and best French Cambric of all the newest designs and latest Patterns.

HATS.

Best Black Dress Hats, Drab Shell, hard and soft Felt cricketting Hats and Caps, in a variety of shapes.

OVERLAND REQUIREMENTS.

Portmanteaus solid leather, Overland Trunks, Tin Boxes, Ladies' Tin and Wood Dress Boxes, Courier Bags, Rugs, and Scotch Mauds, Leather Straps, &c., &c.

MILITARY REQUIREMENTS ALWAYS ON HAND.

PRICE LISTS FREE ON APPLICATION.

JOONAS TYAB,
11, HUMMUM STREET, FORT, BOMBAY.

SPECIAL STOCK
FOR THE
HOT SEASON.

ASQUITH AND COMPANY,
SHIRT-MAKERS, HOSIERS, AND OUTFITTERS,
22, APOLLO STREET.

SOLICIT commands in the several Departments of their Establishment, which offers a large and Choice Stock, regularly supplied by Mail Steamers.

LIBERAL REDUCTIONS FOR CASH.

MOFUSSIL ORDERS
MEET WITH IMMEDIATE ATTENTION AND DESPATCH.

GENT'S BANIANS OR UNDER-VESTS.

	Cash. Rs. a.	Credit. Rs. a.
Pure India Gauze Merino.....half doz.	19 0	24 0
Super ,, ,,	21 0	27 0
Best Silk and Me ino	30 0	35 0
Summer Merino, thicker substance	24 0	27 0

SUPERIOR COTTON SOCKS,
DOUBLE FEET AND HEELS.

Gent's Fine Cotton	per doz.	11 0	12 8
,, Fancy Colours		12 8	14 0
,, Fancy Stripes		16 8	18 8
,, Striped Balbriggan		18 0	20 0
,, Lisle Thread		21 0	24 0

PURE MERINO SOCKS,
SOFT AND SHRINKLESS.

Fine Plain Colours		15 8	17 8
Fine ,, Ribbed		16 8	18 8
Super neat Stripes		18 0	20 0
Superfine "Very Thin"		20 0	23 0
Knickerbockers { Fine	per pair	4 12	5 8
for Sportsmen { Super	per pair	5 12	6 4
Bathing Drawers		2 0	2 8

PERFECT FITTING SHIRTS,
FOR THE HOT SEASON.

	Half	Dozen.
Oxford Cloth, the genuine material, cool, shrinkless, and absorbing	36 0	40 0
Silk and Wool, the best-made, neat patterns, thoroughly shrunk	52 0	58 0
Flannel—Pure Wool—Plain and Fancy Colours, thoroughly shrunk	49 0	56 0
Spun Silk, best quality, neat designs	67 0	75 0
Ji st French Printed, Collar attached	28 0	31 0
Printed Cambric, Collar attached, a useful shirt	22 8	25 0
Fine Long Cloth Cambric Front, &c.	22 8	25 0
Best ,, Fine Linen Fronts, &c	28 0	31 0
Dress—French Embroidered Fronts, &c.	7 8	8 8

BEST LINEN COLLARS.

Three Fold { New Dux, Pall Mall, Haymarket. / Shapes. { West End, Shakespere	6 0	7 0
	(Military New Mess Collars		
West End, Dux, Cambric Tie attached	6 8	7 8

SUPERIOR BRACES.

Berlin, Leather, Patent Webb	2 0	2 4
Indiarubber with Doe Ends	2 6	2 12
French (very Light)	1 12	2 0

SUPERIOR CAMBRIC HANDKERCHIEFS.

Washed and Hem'd, per Doz.	Cash, Rs.	5	0	10	8	12	8	14 0 16 0
	Credit ,,	6	0	12	0	14	0	15 8 18 0
French Hem'd Stitched Colored Borders		25	0			28	8	

NEW SCARFS AND TIES.

Jules Favre, Ollivier, Royal, Promenade.	Small { ..... / Sailors { ..... / Knots	1 12 to 2 12	2 0 to 3 2
Duke, Stroller, Peer, Conqueror, H. R. H.	Large { ..... / Knots {	2 0 to 2 12	2 4 to 3 2
Dress Ties	each	0 8	0 10
,, Made Bow		0 14	1 0
Tom Thumb Ties		1 0	1 2
Eglington, Black or Colored		1 0	1 2
Washing Silk Scarfs		1 12	2 0

LUND'S PATENT JEWELLERY.

Front Studs, Collar Studs, Solitaires.	14-Carat Gold on Ivory, each article Warranted.	3 8 1 8 4 8	4 0 1 12 5 0

COLLAR STUDS, FRONT STUDS, SLEEVES LINKS, SOLITAIRES, IN 15 AND 18 CARAT GOLD.

HOUSEHOLD LINENS, &c.

Damask Napkins	doz.	10 8	12 8
Damask Cloths	each	16 8	18 8
Large Size	each	23 0	25 0
Honey Comb Towels	doz.	11 8	13 0
Bath Towels	doz.	20 0	22 8

OVERLAND AND TRAVELLING KIT.

	inch		
GENT'S OVERLAND TRUNKS—Fitted with strong Lock and Iron Ribs.	27	23 0	26 0
The correct Cabin Height	30	25 0	28 0
LADIES—Light and Strong, with Tray, folding Millinery Division, and Upper Dress Compartment	33	28 0	31 0
RAIL TRAVELLING TRUNKS, To fit under Seat, wide and Flat, with Shirt Case, Pocket for paper &c., a Very Serviceable Article	33	43 0	48 0
SOLID LEATHER—Trunk Portmanteau, turned Edges, Copper Rivited, with Brass Lever Lock and Pair of Strong Straps	36	45 0	50 0
SOILED LINEN BAGS, Strong Canvas, fitted with a good Lock	22	22 0	25 0
CASH, and COURIER BAGS, made of Morocco Leather or Canvas, with patent Spring Lock	24	22 8	26 0
RUG STRAPS—Connecting Handles	26	25 0	28 8
OVERLAND CHAIRS—Best Seasoned Birchwood, with strong Steel Screws	21	52 0	58 0
TRAVELLING PILLOWS	25	58 0	64 0
RUGS { Pure Alpaca, Light and Soft ... / Large Size	30	68 0	75 8
SCOTCH MAUDS		4 12	5 2
		5 12	6 0
		7 12	8 12
		2 0	2 4
		9 8	10 8
		11 8	13 0
		2 0	2 0
		12 8	14 8
		16 8	18 4
		16 8	18 8

ASQUITH AND COMPANY,
22, Apollo Street. Bombay.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL.

MRS. S. CARROL,
MILLINER AND DRESS MAKER,

IN returning her best thanks for the kind patronage accorded to her in Bombay, begs to inform her Constituents, that she will REMOVE to POONA for the SEASON from the 1st JUNE NEXT, where she will OPEN her Establishment in the CIVIL LINES, next to KEMP & Co.'s, and will be happy to attend to all Orders as usual.

BOURNE AND SHEPHERD,
PH

COMMERCIAL INTELLIGENCE.

SHARE MARKET.

FRIDAY AFTERNOON.

Press and Spinning shares weak. Government Paper dull.

BOMBAY BILL AND STOCK LIST.

BANKS.

No. of Shares	Nomi. value ₹ sh.	Paid up.	Last divi-dend.	Names.	Cash Rates per Share.
	Rs.	Rs.	p. a.		
100,000	100	all	5 p.ct.	Agra Bank	90
22,000	1,000	all	6 p.ct.	Bank of Bengal	1,550
10,000	500	all	5 p.ct.	Do of Bombay New	768½
10,000	500	100	5 p.ct.	Do 2nd Iss. Issue	220
15,250	1,000	all	5 p.ct.	Do of Madras	1,300
10,000	250	all	8 p.ct.	Chartered Mercantile Bk. of L. & China	270
40,000	281½	all	12 pct.	Hong. & Shanghai Bank	410 ni.
40,000	200	all	Nil.	Chartered Bk. of I. & C	165
37,080	250	125	Nil.	National Bank of India	103
16,000	250	all	12 do.	Oriental Bank	400

LAND COMPANIES.

4,000	2,000	all	Rs. 75	Colaba Land Co	1,595
8,000	150	all		Frere Land & Pier Co. Ld.	122
750	2,000	2,000	31p.s.	Mazagon Land	700
6,000	1,000	all	Nil.	Port Canning Land Company, Limited	402½

RAILWAY COMPANIES.

.....	£20	218·3·0	p.c.	G. I. P. Railway Consolidated Stock	238
.....	£20	109·1·2	do.	Do. New £20 Shares	124
.....	£20	43·10·2	do.	Ditto	
.....	£100	1,000	do.	B. B. & C. I. Railway Co.	1,125
.....	£18	198·5·1	do.	Do. New Shares	225

SPINNING AND WEAVING COMPANIES.

400	2,000	1,700	150p.s	Albert Mills Co	1,350
600	1,250	all	80p.s	Alexandra Spinning	1,205
500	2,500	all	140*	Alliance Spinning	2,475
100	5,500	all	...	Bombay	2,525
200	1,000	all	20p.s	Bombay United	845
1,000	150	150	25*	Broach Mills	240
750	2,000	all	230p.s	Dharumsey Spinning	2,400 xd.
750	2,000	all	200p.s	Great Eastern	1,975
2,500	1,000	all	140p.s	Manockji Petit's	985
900	1,000	all	Nil.	Morarjee Spinning	1,010
800	2,500	all	225*	Oriental	2,500
600	2,500	2,480	216p.s	Royal	1,950

* Per share for the half-year

PRESS COMPANIES.

150	5,000	7,500	225p.s	Akbar Cotton Press Co	2,350
200	2,000	1,100	75p.s	Albert Co. Kurrachee	1,035
250	3,000	250	Nil.	Alexandra Press Co	400
150	10,000	11,000	200p.s	Apollo Press Co	8,400
200	2,000	all	215p.s	Bombay Press Co	2,285
200	500	all	53p.s.	Do New	550
350	1,000	1,000	...	Broach Cotton Co	1,040
100	1,500	...	...	Carwar Company	1,100
800	1,850	all	40 *	Colaba Press Co	6,400
350	2,000	all	200 *	East India Press Co	3,100
150	8,500	all	350 *	Fort Press Co	9,300
300	2,000	1,800	30 p.s	Framji Cowasji Press Co	1,090
300	1,000	all	200 p.s	French Press Co	980
200	6,000	6,000	225 p.s	Hydraulic Press Co	2,300
250	5,000	1,467	75 p.s	Imperial Press Co	1,010
200	5,000	2,000	150 p.s	Kurrachee Press Co	1,125
200	400	350	60 p.s	Mofussil Press & G. Co	600
100	2,500	all	250 p.s	Prince of Wales Press	2,400
100	1,000	750		Punjab Cotton Press Co., Limited	850
150	2,000	all	Nil.	Tinnevelly Press Co	850
225	2,000	1,000	250 *	Victoria Press, Madras	2,125

* Per share for the half-year.

MISCELLANEOUS

.....	2,500	1,500	75 p.s	Bombay Burmah Trading Corporation	1,375
50,000	50	all	4½ p.ct	Bombay Gas Company	55
500	2,000	300	21 p.s.	Kurrachee Landing and Shipping Company	175
1,000	50	all	2 p.s.	Mechanics Bld'g Co.	24
2,000	500	all	40 p.s.	Treacher & Co	430
3,000	250	all	6 p.s.	Viegas Patent Slip Co	55

BANKS IN LIQUIDATION.

			Date of Liquidation.		
.....	500	95	Jan. '66	Bank of Bombay	8
.....	25	19½	Do.	China	1
.....	250	2	Mar. '66	Central Bank	1
.....	...	...	...	East India Bank	1
.....	200	13	Feb. '67	Indian Peninsula	1
.....	200	70½	May '67	Royal Bank	2

EXCHANGE.

On	Terms.	Rate.
London	At sight	1s. 10 11/16d.
London Banks ...	6 months' sight ...	1s. 11 3/16d.
Do. Credits ...	6 Do. do. ...	1s. 11 3/16d. to 1d.
Do. Documents	6 Do. do. ...	1s. 11 7/16d.
Calcutta	At sight	100 d.
Do.	30 days' sight ...	100
Do.	60 Do. do. ...	99½
Madras	At sight	100
Do.	30 days' sight ...	99½
China	60 Do. do. ...	229

PRICES OF BULLION, &c.

Sovereigns, each	...Rs. 19-8-6
Bank of England Notes	... 19-12
Carolus	... 200
Mexican Dollars	... 222
Five- Franc Pieces	... 221½
Bar Silver	... 106½ to 106¾
Gold Leaf	... 16-12
Bar Gold 10 oz. English 100 touch ...	... 16-12
Australian Gold ... 100 touch ...	... 16-12-6
Government Securities	5 per cent.
Mercantile Bills	6 "

GOVERNMENT SECURITIES.

Five-and-a-half per cent	...112½
New Transfer Loan of Four & half per cent. of 1872	...104½
Four per cent	...101¾
Four & half per cent. (Public Works Loan)	... £1 03½
Five per cent D debenture Loan, 1893-87	... 103½
15 years' Debenture Loans	...103½
10 years' do. do.	... 105
5 years' do. do.	...101
Six per cent Municipal Loan House Rate ...	...112
Do. Do. Market Rate ...	... 112
New French Loan 5 per cent (2 f. 50 c.) of 1871	... 91 to 92
New French Loan (fully paid-up)	... 90 to 90½
Paris Municipal Loan (Debenture Rs 117) per Bond. 87	

GREAT INDIAN PENINSULA RAILWAY.

Statement of Traffic, week ending 1st June 1872.

	Open 1,274 Miles. Rs.	a.	p.
Coaching	1,10,9 5	8	0
Merchandize	3,39,403	15	4
Electric Telegraph	1,054	12	6
Earth Trains	597	8	0
Total Rs.	4,51,967	11	10
Corresponding week last year open 1,274 miles ... Total Rs ...	4,71,344	1	9
Decrease Rs.	19,376	5	11

CALCUTTA.—3RD JUNE.

The following transactions were reported:—

In Government Securities—

4 per cents., small sums only at Rs. 101-10.

5½ per cents. a small sum, at Rs. 112-4.

In shares—

Bank of Bengal shares one lot at Rs. 1,540, others at Rs. 1,555 and 1,560.

National Bank shares at Rs. 107 and 107½.

Delhi and London Bank shares at Rs. 120.

MONDAY EVENING.

The excessive heat of the past week has imparted a sluggishness to all branches of trade. The only particle of animation has been in the stock market, where tea especially has been very buoyant.

Telegraphic advices from home report a reduction of the Bank rate to 4 per cent which is doubtless owing to mercantile bills discounted having decreased three millions, and the cash balance increased by three quarters of a million since it was raised to 5 per cent.

The Bank of Bengal has also reduced its rate 1 per cent., money however, still continues so abundant that although this reduction is a nearer assimilation to the rates in the open market, money on call cannot find employment at a better figure than 3 per cent.

The Bank of Bengal report up to the 28 h ult no calls for little comment. The only alteration of any moment is the increase under the head of Bank post bills of sixteen lakhs, which, it is almost needless to remark, can have nothing to do with the external exchange a, taking into calculation the London rate for bills on India, but must be regarded as simply a transfer between the branches.

Other deposits have decreased 40 lakhs. The cash at Head Office is increasing steadily, but there is no reduction in the heavy cash balances at the Branches, which fans, coupled with the heavy purchases for sixty days' sight bills on Bombay made by the Bank during the months of March and April still admits of the conclusion that the greater portion of the 4 crores of cash at Branches is at Bombay, where it is not only infructuous, but cannot be returned to Calcutta except at a loss.

GOVERNMENT SECURITIES.—The market has been flat and inanimate, and with the exception of 4 per cent. paper which has rallied a little, to effect sales, it has been necessary to give way seriously in the rate.

The advices from London of Saturday last are more favourable, and should sterling exchange recede slightly paper would become a favourable means of remittance, and any further fall would be checked. The mark t for Government Securities here is becoming daily more and more dependant upon the London market. Closing quotations are—

CALCUTTA.	
4 per cents.	Rs. 101-8
4½ "	" 104-6
5½ "	" 112-4
BOMBAY.	
4 per cents.	Rs. 101-10
4½ "	" 104-10
5½ "	" 112 4
LONDON	
4 per cents.	Rs. 96½
4½ "	" 99
5½ "	" 107-8

JOINT STOCK SHARES.—The past ten days have witnessed an increasing desire on the part of the public to invest their money in tea stock, and there can be no doubt if, as there seems to be every prospect, the companies who paid such handsome dividends last year, do the same again this, a further advance in all good tea stock is a certainty.

The Assam Tea Coy., which has yet only paid an ad interim dividend of 5 per cent for the past year, the shares of Rs. 200 stand at Rs. 400, whereas the Bishnauth Tea Co. which has paid 17½ per cent for the past year shares of similar value only stand at Rs. 350. The same remark applies to many other good companies. It would not be at all surprising to see the attention of people at home directed towards some of the sound companies as an investment. In Bank Stock National have again rallied and they may be quoted in good demand at Rs. 106 to Rs. 108. Bank of Bengals are a little sick, sellers appearing in the market as low as Rs. 1,525.

WEATHER REPORTS.

VINGORLA, 7th June, 12 A.M.—Weather overcast, wind east light, thermometer 88.

CARWAR, 7th June, 12-30 A.M.—Weather cloudy, wind south thermometer 86, barometer 29 94

TUTICORIN, 7th June, 12 A.M.—Weather fine, wind east, thermometer 85, barometer 29 802.

MANGALORE, 7th June, 9-15 A.M.—Weather cloudy, wind north-west, thermometer 88, rainfall 15 cents.

SHIPPING.

DAILY FREIGHT REPORT—7TH JUNE.

London ...	Cotton / Cases / Seeds	No ship.
Liverpool	Iron Ships Cotton ... / Wooden Ships ... / Myrabolns & Coir bills / Seeds	£2 5s. 0d. to £2 7s. 6d. / £2 5s. 0d. / £2 0s. 0d. / £1 0s. 0d.
Havre ...	Cotton / Coffee	No ship
Overland ... Steamers		£2 10s. 0d.
Liverpool via Suez Canal		£2 5s. 0d. to £2 7s. 6d.
China ...	Steamer Cotton / Opium / Sailing Ships	Rs. 7 to 8 per bale. / Rs. 25 to 90 per chest / Rs. 4 per bale nominal
Calcutta ... Salt		Rs. 4 8 0 per ton
Calcutta to Bombay		Rs. 17 and Calcutta Port charges
Mauritius		Nothing doing.

ARRIVALS.

June	Vessel.	Commander.	From
7	Rigs (s)	Dryadale	Leith, May 2.
	Kurrachee (s)	Avern	Kurrachee, June 4.
	Cospatrick	Elmslie	London, June 20.

DEPARTURES.

June	Vessel.	Commander.	Destination.
7	Regina	Brady	Calcutta.
	Orissa (s)	Tomlin	Aden and Suez.
	Patna (s)	Burke	Calcutta.
	Neera (s)	Obbard	Calcutta.

The ship Ashgrove, Fullerton, to Liverpool, obtained her port clearance yesterday.

The following vessels were entered out for loading yesterday:—Steamer Oriental, to Chinaand Calcutta.

Steamer Kurrachee, to Kurrachee.

HOOGHLY.

ARRIVALS.

June 4 Historian (s) ... Tutton ... Hongkong, May 16.
Dacca (s) ... Paterson ... Moulmein, May 28.

STEAMER REPORT.

GALLE, 7th June, 10-10 A.M.—Mail steamer from Bombay signalled.

DAILY IMPORTS.—7TH JUNE.

FROM LIVERPOOL.

Yeddo (s), 105 casks beer, Eduljee Rustomjee Mody; 4 cases drugs, D. and N. Manockjee.

FROM LONDON.

Yeddo (s), 4 cases Madeira wine, and 30 cases champagne, Bomanjee Manchejee Punthekey; 40 cases champagne, Pochajee Framjee & Co.

Teviotdale, 51 cases paper, H. Clason & Co.; 50 cases kerosine oil, Sullamonjee Curimjee; 5 bales printing paper, 2 casks ink, and 1 case paper, Bhicoo Sazba & Co.

DAILY EXPORTS.—7TH JUNE.

FOR LIVERPOOL.

Sir Charles Napier, 250 bales cotton, Gaddum & Co.; 79 bales cotton, King & Co.

Stornoway, 500 bales cotton, W. and A. Graham & Co.; 100 bales cotton, Nusserwanjee Manockjee & Co.; 200 bales cotton, Ritchie, Steuart & Co.

Scandaria (s), 33 bales wool, Vizbhookendass Amrootlall; 26 bales cotton, Finlay, Scott & Co.; 50 bales wool, Runchord Heryhoy; 10 bales wool, Rehemoobhoy Mahomed; 42 bales cotton, Heeralall Ramgopall; 110 bales cotton, G. Sigg & Co. 350 bales cotton, Young & Co.; 22 bales wool, Nundram Begraj.

Yeldo (s), 53 bales wool, Soonderdass Vagjee; 100 bales cotton, Chotalall Joogulbhoy; 50 bales wool, Bhuwandass Bhawanbhoy; 1,600 bundles coir yarn, Finlay, Scott & Co.; 146 bales cotton, John Campbell & Co.; 75 bales cotton, David Sassoon & Co.; 85 bales cotton, Bhuwanjee Mooljee; 24 bales wool, Cursondass Nansey; 12 bales cotton, W. and A. Graham & Co.

Khedive (s), 200 bales cotton, Gaddum & Co.; 59 bales wool, Devjee Govindjee; 52 bales wool, Kooverbhoy Dewchund.

Peshawur (s), 329 bags linseed, Soonderdass Vagjee; 100 bales cotton, A. Blascheck & Co.; 40 bales cotton, Peel, Cassels & Co.

Celestial Empire, 250 bales cotton, Knoop & Co.; 75 bales cotton, H. Bomanjee, Touche & Co.

FOR LONDON.

Peshawur (s), 16 bales hides, Currimbhoy Ebram; 15 bales hides Jaferjee Goolamhusson.

Khedive (s), 75 bundles hides, Nensey bhoy Gangjee; 57 bundles hides, Peerbhoy Damjee; 55 bundles hides, Dawoodbhoy Mahomed; 20 bales hides, Momed Ebram; 88 cases oilabarum, Hajeebhoy Lalljee; 30 cases mother o' pearl shells Hassumbhoy Goolamhusson & Co.; 52 bundles hides D wjee, Canjee; 7 bales hides, Mahomed Oomerbhoy: 45 bundles hides, Ruzubally Alimza.

FOR HAVRE.

Khedive (s), 50 bales cotton, Canjee Lalljee.

FOR MARSEILLES.

Khedive (s), 12 cases peacock feathers, Dahimbhoy Cadurbhoy.

FOR HONGKONG.

Emeu (s), 170 pieces E. teath, Jairazbhoy Peerbhoy; 1 case cambay stone, Cayemally Tyebally; 63 cases fishmaws, Rahimbhoy Alladinbhoy; 235 bales cotton, Sewbagus Ballapursa; 1 bale cotton, Dadabhoy Cowasjee Tata; 24 chests M. opium, Moolchund Dalichund; 50 bales waste twist, Joosub Meer; 600 bundles fishmaws and sharkfins, Lalljee Hemraz; 21 chests M. opium, Nursee Kessowjee & Co.

SALES AND PURCHASES.

The following sales are reported to have been made by European firms yesterday:—

PIECE GOODS.

					Rs. a. p.
1,000	pieces Grey Shirtings	40 inch	39 yds.	7 lbs.	4 14 6
1,000	" "	40 "	39 "	7 "	4 15 6
1,000	" "	40 "	39 "	8¼ lbs.	5 12 0
1,500	" "	40 "	39 "	8¼ lbs.	5 13 0
500	" "	40 "	39 "	9 lbs.	6 8 0
500	" "	45 "	39 "	7 lbs.	5 2 6
750	" "	45 "	39 "	8 lbs.	5 13 0
450	" " Madapollams	32 "	24 "	3 lbs.	2 4 6
1,200	" "	32 "	24 "	22 lbs.	2 2 0
100	" " T. Cloths	32 "	24 "	7 lbs.	4 13 0
6.0	" " T. RedCambrics	24 "	28 "		4 6 0

COTTON.

50	candies New Dholleras,	ready	... Rs. 292-63
50	" " Do.	ready	... " 284
50	" " Do.	ready	... " 269
50	" " Do.	ready	... " 258
175	" " Do.	ready	... " 259-61½
100	" " Bhowmuggur,	ready	... " 262½
25	" " Do.	ready	... " 264
500	" " Sawginned	ready	... " 285½
50	" " Jo-ia	ready	... " 216-47
100	" " Oomrawtee, full pressed		... " 267

YARNS.

4,500 lbs. Mull No. 40 ... ... 12¼ as per lb.

BOMBAY GOVERNMENT TELEGRAPH

OFFICE—7TH JUNE, 8 P.M.

Latest dates from United Kingdom.

Via Red Sea, 7th June, 11-55 A.M., Greenwich time. Time in transit, 2 hours 40 minutes.

Latest dates from Continent of Europe.

Via Turkey, 6th June, 7-20 P.M., Greenwich time. Time in transit, 9 hours 4 minutes.

Latest dates from China.

Via Singapore, 7th June, 2-30 P.M. Time in transit, 7 hours 48 minutes.

The time occupied in the transmission of messages to Bombay from 8 P.M. of the 6th to 8 P.M. of the 7th June is as follows:—

To Bombay.	Max	Min.
From Calcutta	4 18	0 4
" Galle	3 48	3 14
" Kurrachee	1 37	0 2
" Madras	3 57	0 6

TELEGRAPH LINE REPORTS.

KURRACHEE, 7th June, 9 P.M.—Latest dates from United Kingdom via Russia, 6th June, 4-27 P.M.; via Turkey, 5th June, 9 P.M. Latest dates from Continent of Europe, via Turkey, 6th June, 7-20 P.M. Cable right to Fao; Turkish line right to Persia; Persian line interrupted beyond Teheran.

MILITARY.

ARRIVALS.—JUNE 7.

Casey, 2nd Apothecary, Bengal Subordinate Medical Department, on leave, from Umballa.

Rogers, Captain, 63th Light Infantry, on leave, from Poona.

DEPARTURES.—JUNE 7.

Campbell, Paymaster, 30th Foot, to rejoin, to Rawul Pindee.

Blandy, Lieutenant, Royal Artillery, on leave, to England.

Pitchard, Captain, Royal Artillery, to rejoin, to England.

Randall, Lieutenant-Colonel, Bengal Infantry, to join, to England.

Wilson, Lieutenant, 50th Infantry, on medical certificate, to England.

Hennessy, Captain, 15th Sikhs, on furlough, to England.

Moorhouse, Lieutenant, 106th Regiment, on leave, to England.

Samuells, Captain, 32nd Punjaub Cavalry, on furlough, to England.

Rogers, Captain, 68th Regiment, on leave, to England.

Corse-Scott, Lieutenant, 37th B. N. I., on furlough, to England.

POSTAL NOTICES.

DEPARTURE OF OCEAN MAILS.

	Date.	Mail closes.		After-packet.
United Kingdom	June 14	3-30 P.M.	...	4-30 P.M.
Kurrachee	" 21	11 A.M.	...	12 A.M.
Persian Gulf via Kurrachee	" 14	11 A.M.	...	12 A.M.
China	" 17	3 P.M.	...	No after-packets.
Australia	" 20	3 P.M.	...	
Mauritius, Reunion, &c.	" 14	5-30 P.M.	...	6-30 P.M.

OVERLAND MAIL.

The Overland Mail Steamers of the P. and O. S. N. Company leave Bombay every Friday at 5 P.M.

The following revised arrangements in the hours for closing Mails will come into operation from the 31st idem:—

Letters will be received at the General Post Office up to 3-30 P.M.

Newspapers, Books, and Patterns, up to 1-30 P.M.

Registered Letters up to 1 P.M.

Late letters, if fully prepaid by means of stamps in respect both of postage and of late letter fee (4 Anna each), will be received at the Pier Post Office from 3-30 to 4-30 P.M.

The latest hour for posting Overland covers at the several Branch Post Offices will be 1 P.M.

The subjoined Table shows the dates of departure of Overland Mail Steamers from Bombay during the year 1872. The hour of the steamer's departure is 5 P.M.:—

Friday	June 14	Friday	Sept. 20
"	" 21	Monday	" 30
"	" 28	"	Oct. 7
"	July 5	"	" 14
"	" 12	"	" 21
"	" 19	"	" 28
"	" 26	"	Nov. 4
"	Aug. 2	"	" 11
"	" 9	"	" 18
"	" 16	"	" 25
"	" 23	"	Dec. 2
"	Aug. 30	"	" 9
"	Sept. 6	"	" 16
"	" 13	"	" 30

THE "BOMBAY GAZETTE."

THE following are now the uniform rates of subscription to the *Bombay Gazette* both for town and up-country subscribers:—

Subscription for One Year (*Post Free*)	Rs.	42	0 0
Half Year	*ditto*	24	0 0
Three Months	*ditto*	14	0 0
One Month	*ditto*	5	0 0
One Week	*ditto*	1	8 0

The above rates are for subscriptions paid *in advance*.

"BOMBAY GAZETTE" OVERLAND SUMMARY.

THE shape of the *Bombay Gazette Overland Summary* is now similar to that of the *Pall Mall Budget*. Residents in distant parts of India will find the *Gazette Summary* a convenient Weekly Paper of Bombay and general news. It contains all the Government Orders, Civil and Military, from the official *Gazettes*; specially-written Money and Commercial Market and Freight Reports; Leading Articles on the principal topics of discussion in India; and all the Local and General Intelligence of the Week.

The Edition for Indian Subscribers also contains the Telegrams received from Europe during the Week.

A specimen copy will be sent on receipt of address.

Subscription for One Year, *Post Free in India*, Rs. 12.

Postage to England, Rs. 6-8 per annum.

BOMBAY PRICE CURRENT.

THE *Bombay Price Current* is published Weekly at the *Bombay Gazette* Office, on the Departure of the Overland Mail for Europe.

Per Annum	Rs.	10	0 0
Per Copy	"	0	4 0

DOMESTIC OCCURRENCES.

[THE CHARGE FOR SOLICITING A DOMESTIC OCCURRENCE IS ONE RUPEE, AND THE ANNOUNCEMENT MUST BE AUTHENTICATED.]

BOMBAY.

MARRIAGE.

McLAUGHLIN—PURVIS—June 3rd, at St. Paul's Church, Poona, by the Rev. W. Clarke, William Alexander McLaughlin, Government Telegraph Department, to Martha Harriett, eldest daughter of the late Mr. James Purvis, Commissariat Department.—No cards.

OTHER PRESIDENCIES.

BIRTHS.

BUCKNER—June 1st, at Benares, the wife of Surgeon Major R. H. Perkins, 5th Regiment N. L. I., of a son.

WILSON—June 1st, at Ghazeeabad, the wife of R. A. D. Wilson, East Indian Rai way, Medical Depart, Delhi District, of a daughter.

MARRIAGE.

REDDIE—THOMPSON—May 29th, at St. John's Church, Calcutta by the Rev. W. C. Bromehead, Thos. Reddie, Esq., of H. M.'s Bengal Pilot Service, to Ellen Thompson, only daughter of Captain G. A. Thompson.

DEATH.

PEDDIE—May 3rd, at the General Hospital, Calcutta, Rachel, the beloved wife of Mr. David Keer Peddie, aged 72 years.

All MONEY ORDERS, CHEQUES, and other Remittances sent to this office, should be made payable to the "Proprietor of the *Bombay Gazette*."

All Communications respecting Advertisements should be addressed to the "Advertisement Department." *Bombay Gazette* Office, Bombay.

The Bombay Gazette.

SATURDAY, JUNE 8, 1872.

JUST when it seemed inevitable that the Treaty of Washington would fall through, the Government and Senate of the United States have at last made a concession which amounts to a formal withdrawal of the indirect claims, and which may therefore be accepted without dishonour by England. The negotiations have been so prolonged, and have passed through so many phases, that it may be of use to endeavour, with such information as we have at our command, to trace the origin of the most recent dispute, which threatened, only two days ago, to make shipwreck of the Treaty. From the explanations given by Lord Granville and Mr. Gladstone in Parliament before the Houses adjourned for the Whitsuntide holiday, we learn that General Schenck, the American Ambassador, when he found the English Government stood resolutely by its first opinion "that it was impossible that England "should submit the indirect claims to arbitra- "tion," suggested a possible way out of the difficulty by the addition of a supplementary article to the Treaty of Washington. Lord Granville prepared a draft article, in the following terms, which General Grant accepted and submitted for ratification to the Senate:—

Whereas the Government of England has contended in recent correspondence with the United States that such indirect claims as those for national losses stated in the Case presented on the part of the Government of the United States to the Tribunal of Arbitration at Geneva to have been sustained by loss in the transfer of the American commercial marine to the British flag, enhanced insurance payments by the prolongation of war, and the addition of a large sum to the cost of the war, and the suppression of rebellion, firstly, were not included in the Treaty of Washington, and further, and secondly, should not be admitted in principle as growing out of acts committed by particular vessels alleged to have been enabled to commit depredations on shipping of a belligerent by reason of such want of diligence in performance of neutral obligations as that which is imputed by the United States to Great Britain; and whereas the President of the United States, while adhering to his contention that the said claims were included in the Treaty, adopts for the future the principle contained in the second of the said contentions as far as declaring it will heresfter guide the conduct of the Government of the United States; and the two countries are, therefore, agreed in this respect—In consideration thereof the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, consents that he will make no claim on the part of the United States in respect of the indirect losses as aforesaid before the Tribunal of Arbitration at Geneva.

The pith of the article is of course in the concluding words; and, though we do not yet know the precise nature of the modifications introduced by the Senate, we may presume that the final clause was so amended as to leave to the United States the privilege of doing as a matter of grace what it was the object of England to compel them to do as a matter of obligation. The Senate was graciously pleased to declare that the States "would not press" the indirect claims, but it still insisted on the right of formally placing the statement of those claims before the Arbitrators at Geneva. Mr. Gladstone was evidently weak enough in the first instance to accept this compromise as satisfactory, for in the House of Commons on Monday evening he stated that the Law Officers of the Crown thought the terms of the supplementary article were stringent enough to prevent America from "prosecuting" the claims, and he urged no objection to their formal statement.

But it is quite plain that, had the Ministry persevered in this course, it would have been driven out of office in a week. The result of the debate in the House of Lords on Tuesday evening proved that the temper of the English nation was excited to fever heat by the recommendation of the Government that another "understanding" should be agreed to as a satisfactory issue of the renewed negotiations, and that no formal pledge of withdrawal should be exacted from the American people. "Allow me," said Lord Derby, in the debate of the 13th ultimo, "to "utter one word of warning, and say, let us have "no further understanding. We have a right "to ask that the new arrangement, which is "intended to supersede and control the former "one, shall be couched in clear, precise, and "unequivocal language, because unless that is "done we shall be again exposed to all the "trouble and misunderstanding which has "hitherto caused us so much anxiety." Earl Russell, in the same debate, speaking with a vigour and point worthy of his "best days, said—"Before the 15th of June I "shall renew the subject again and again, unless "there is a withdrawal of the indirect claims, "by a formal act and not by a continuation of "negotiations, unless they disappear altogether, "unless by a formal act the American Government withdraw the claims and set them aside, "so that no representative of Her Majesty can "appear in a room on the table of which are "lying these mendacious claims. At present "the case appears to me to be one in "which the honour of the Crown is "concerned on one side and the re- "election of President Grant on the other, and "for my part I prefer the honour of Her Majes- "ty and the honour and reputation of this "country to any consideration connected with "the election of General Grant." Yet notwithstanding these warnings, which were endorsed by the public opinion of the whole country, and untaught by their experience of the risk duty of Discipline Master to whom all cases of immoral behaviour should be referred." A "discipline master," when spoken of by natives about natives, is a wonderful sign of breadth of view and sound good sense. This is broadly and sensibly spoken, and we are glad to find that some countrymen of the Hindoos can go the length of suggesting that morals can and ought to be driven into them even by the *argumentum baculinum*.

WE are sure there are many bits of India for which sojourners in the land would always wish to keep a corner in their memory—bits which, intensely beautiful or grand, possess in themselves the right to be remembered, or, by associations of one kind or another, have become deeply interesting. But memory is often treacherous—endeavour to recal a picture, and a broad, shadowy idea appears, but where may be those little points, those particular excellences, which once were the source of nearly as much delight as the whole picture now so disappointingly generalised? Memory to be true to its owner must lean upon art—it may be the painter's or the sculptor's, but as regards Indian subjects it is most likely to be the photographer's. Messrs. Bourne and Shepherd have sent us some photographs of scenes in this Presidency, and while the skill they have displayed at once commands our admiration we think they will earn the gratitude of many Anglo-Indians of the kind we have just alluded to—those who recollect, and love to recollect, Indian scenes, but fail in the details from a memory more full of holes than the bridge of Mirza—who will be put within the means of obtaining faithful presentments of spots they may love to be once more upon in fancy, or whose beauty they may wish to assist the imagination of a friend at home to relise. These photographs are marvels of perspicuousness—details of leaf, and rock, and ground vegetation are brought out clear and sharp as by the stroke of a chisel. The views of Matheran are completely successful, the artist's mechanical execution being not inferior to the taste he has displayed in choosing the best positions. Near One Tree Hill, as the nose of rock, mounted with a lonely tree, is called that forms so prominent a part of the view from the lesser Chowk Point, the traveller can scarcely fail to have noticed an arch of foliage which bends over the road and excludes the sunlight and the heat. This avenue Mr. Murray (the gentleman who, we believe, executed the admirable series of pictures we refer to) has succeeded in depicting on his lens with perfect exactness, the smallest thread in the network of branches being made visible, and he has given the photograph an artistic local tone by introducing four natives of the hill, two of whom are characteristically squatting, while the upright outlines of the two others stand against the light which breaks in at the end of the avenue. Equally remarkable as a picture is the "bit" of road near Chowk Point, wherein grass and jungle are detailed with microscopic truthfulness. There are other views—the "Peep" showing Louisa Point, &c., from the Sanitarium; a "bit" just beneath the Band; and the cliff opposite Louisa Point, down whose face a cataract leaps, a thousand feet at a time; but we need not give particulars about them—they are all distinguished by the same excellence. Messrs. Bourne and Shepherd have also taken some views of Bombay—one represents the city from the point of view of Colaba Lighthouse, another Rampart Row, another the "Queen's Statue." The last is simply exquisite, and we do not know whether to admire the graceful florid canopy and the Statue of Her Majesty more in the cold white stone than as they appear in the softened tone of this beautiful picture. Any Bombay-walla going home should endeavour to procure a copy of this representation of the most beautiful ornament of our city. We are glad Bombay possesses such excellent artists as Messrs. Bourne and Shepherd.

NOTES OF THE DAY.

THE complaints made in the Bombay newspapers of the oppressiveness of the Non-Agricultural Cess in this Presidency have at last attracted the notice of members of Parliament. The *Home News* gives the following report of a question put by Sir D. Wedderburn on the subject, and of Mr. Grant Duff's answer:—

"Sir D. Wedderburn asked the Under-Secretary for India whether it was the case that the Bombay Government, through its Legislative Council, had for local purposes imposed a tax on incomes of £5 a year and upwards, while the Government of India had raised the minimum of income assessable under the income-tax, first from £20 a year to £50, and subsequently to £75, and finally to £100.

"Mr. Grant Duff—Yes, it is true that a tax of the kind has been in operation for some months. It is a local or provincial impost for local and provincial purposes, levied under an Act for imposing duties on the rural non-agricultural classes intended to meet the criticism that taxation for provincial and local purposes was laid too exclusively upon the peasants and the land. Like all such imposts, it is merely tentative, and will of course be closely watched both on the spot and in this country."

We are glad to learn that the tax is "merely tentative," but for how long a time is the experiment to be tried, and who are "closely watching" it? It ought to be as well known to Mr. Grant Duff as it is to the Government of Bombay that the opinion of all district officers is strongly opposed to the tax, and that this cess is causing more discontent throughout the Presidency than any other impost. It has been tried for a year now; is that not long enough? Then, again, Mr. Grant Duff says the cess was "intended to meet "the criticism that taxation for provincial and "local purposes was laid too exclusively upon "the peasants and the land." The criticism was just, but it was used to show the fairness of an income tax on the wealthy citizens of large towns, not on the poor artisans of the country districts who already pay taxes enough on their salt. The Government has not levied this cess in place of any part of the land-tax; the assessments of the ryots' fields in this Presidency have not been lowered, but are in process of being raised from 50 to 100 per cent. The Non-Agricultural Cess Act was passed because the Government of India chose to create a deficit in the Provincial Budget last year by reducing the income-tax in deference to the clamours of the great towns. The land still bears its old burdens; the common coolie with £5 a year now suffers for the relief given to rich merchants and shopkeepers all over India by the precious policy of Decent

SHIPPING ITEMS.

THE SHIP COSPATRICK, 1,199 tons, Captain Elmslie, arrived yesterday from London (January 20th) with a general cargo.

THE S.S. KURRACHEE, Captain J. Avern, arrived yesterday from Kurrachee with a general cargo and the following passengers:—Mr. Robertson and forty-four deck passengers.

THE SHIP ASHCROVE, 1,348 tons, Captain James Fullerton, will sail to-day for Liverpool with a cargo of 7,968 bales cotton, 2,250 cwts. galls, 500 cwts. linseed, 148 cwts. coir yarn, 100 cwts. horns, and 123 cwts. hingda.

THE S. S. RIDA, 918 tons, Captain John Drysdale, arrived yesterday from Leith (May 2nd) via the Suez Canal with a general cargo. She touched at Port Said and Aden, and is consigned to Messrs. W. and A. Graham & Co.

THE new Austrian Lloyd's steamer Galatea left Trieste for Bombay on Thursday last, 6th instant, and is advertised to be despatched on the return voyage from Bombay about the 10th July. The Galatea is a new passenger steamer, specially built for the Bombay-Trieste line, and we hear that her accommodation is very good. The present is her first voyage.

STATE OF THE TIDE AT BOMBAY.

HIGH WATER.		JUNE.		LOW WATER.	
Time.	Height.			Time.	Height.
H. M.	FT. IN.			H. M.	FT. IN.
1 4 m	5 10	Saturday.....	8	6 16 m	6 7
0 32 m	5 9	Sunday	9	7 3 a	2 5
1 37 a	5 6			6 49 m	6 2
1 55 m	5 7	Monday.....	10	7 39 a	2 3
2 14 a	4 11			7 24 m	5 7
1 50 m	2 2	Tuesday.....	11	8 19 a	2 0
2 52 a	4 5			8 2 m	4 8
2 36 m	1 7	Wednesday ...	12	9 4 a	1 9
3 32 a	3 10			8 42 m	3 9
3 19 m	1 3	Thursday	13	9 55 a	1 8
4 18 a	3 6			9 22 m	3 0
4 38 m	1 3	Friday	14	10 53 a	1 11
5 8 a	3 3			10 29 m	2 9
				11 52 a	2 7

Moon—First Quarter, 14th, 6h. 9m. P.M.

AN INTESTATE BEGUM.

YESTERDAY at the High Court, before the Hon. Mr. Justice Green, Mr. Macpherson, instructed by Mr. Janardhun Gopal, on behalf of Sulleman Hajee Abd Sattar, moved, under section 17 of Act 24 of 1867, otherwise called the Administrator General's Act, for an order directing the Administrator General to apply for letters of administration to the estate of Ameera Begum, a Mahomedan lady who died intestate in 1869, leaving behind her considerable property in Secundrabad and Hyderabad as well as in Bombay. The applicant had made an affidavit, from which it appears that his father and the Begum, who were relatives, jointly advanced a sum of Rs. 19,000 to one Sorabjee Temooljee on the security of a house situated in Bombay. Both the mortgagees died before the mortgage was redeemed. The applicant then brought a suit against Sorabjee Temooljee in the joint names of himself and his brother, as executors of the last will of his father, Hajee Abd Sattar, but the Court refused to hear the case until the interest of the deceased Begum, the other mortgagee, was duly represented; and the hearing was postponed in order to enable the applicant to join the names of the person or persons entitled to administer her estate. Every effort had been made, but no clue could be obtained to any living representative of the Begum; and the representative of one of the mortgagees now applied that the Administrator General should be directed to take charge of her estate, or else it was feared that the persons in whose possession her assets now were, would withhold them, and there was the risk of a considerable portion being lost.

The Court passed an order directing the Administrator General, under section 17 of Act 24 of 1867, to apply for letters of administration to the estate of Ameera Begum, deceased.

HIGH COURT.—8TH JUNE.

(Before the Hon. Mr. JUSTICE BAYLEY.)

LONG CAUSE.

—Manisty and Fletcher—Jamsetjee Adurjee v. Nowrojee Burjorjee—P. Dinshaw—(Part heard.)

(Before the Hon. Mr. JUSTICE GREEN.)

MOTIONS.

LONG CAUSES.

491-70—Keir, Prescot, and Winter—Sooza Tarra Naiquin v. Damodhur Madhowjee—S. Pandoorung and M. Moonshi—(Part heard.)

130-71—Manisty and Fletcher—Moorarjee Cursondas v. Mirza Mahomed Sallay, &c.—Judge.

373-71—Abraham—Shapoorjee Burjorjee B. v. T. G. Young—Keir, Prescot, and Winter.

375-71—Shapoorjee and Thacurdas—Ruttonsey Panchan v. Charles Saunders—Manisty and Fletcher.

387-71—Craigie, Lynch, and Owen—Richard Latham and others v. George Meikle—No appearance.

415-71—Macfarlane and Skipsey—Hurgopall Premsookdas and another v. Hurvyraldas Culliandas—No appearance.

419-71—Shapoorjee and Thacurdas—Soonderdas Mooljee and another v. Bace Jeooda—No appearance.

425-71—Jefferson and Payne—Cursondas Muldoojee v. Hajee Tyeb Hajee Ibrahoola and others—Macfarlane and Skipsey.

305-71—J. Gopall—Laxumibaee, widow, v. Keshogram Bapoojee—Macfarlane and Skipsey.

391-71—Mr. Hearn—The Secretary of State in Council for India v. Hajee Essa Hajee Fuddla—Macfarlane and Skipsey.

171-71—G. J. Mody—Nanabhoy Nowrojee B. v. Rowjee Dadaram and others—Shapoorjee and Thakurdas.

RELIGIOUS SERVICES.

SECOND SUNDAY AFTER TRINITY—9TH JUNE.

ST. THOMAS' CATHEDRAL.

7-30 A.M.—1st celebration of Holy Communion.
11 A.M.—Morning Prayer and Sermon, with 2nd celebration of Holy Communion. Hymns 181 and 207.
4-45 P.M.—Catechising.
5-30 P.M.—Litany.
6 P.M.—Evening Prayer and Sermon. Hymns 24, 210, and 282? The offertories will be devoted as usual.

HOLY-DAYS.

Tuesday 11th, feast of St. Barnabas, Ap. and Mar., Holy Communion will be celebrated at 7-30 A.M.

ST. JOHN'S CHURCH, COLABA.

7-30 A.M.—Holy Communion.
11 A.M.—Morning Prayer and Sermon. Hymns 20 and 150.
6 P.M.—Evening Prayer and Sermon. Hymns 24, 210, and 14. The offertories to be devoted as usual.

HOLY TRINITY CHURCH, SONAPORE.

7-30 A.M.—Holy Communion.
8-15 A.M.—Matins and Sermon, Venite, *Monk*; Psalms, *Helmore*; Te Deum, *Monk*; Benedicite, *Hayes*; Anthem, H. A. M. 21, also Hymn 136.
6 P.M.—Evensong and Sermon. Psalms, *Helmore*; Magnificat, *Monk*; Nunc Dimittis, *Monk*; Anthem, H. A. M. 49, also Hymn 175, and 208.
The offertory as usual.

CHRIST CHURCH, BYCULLA.

7-30 A.M.—Holy Communion (celebration.)
11 A.M.—Matins with Litany and Sermon. Hymns 141 and 136.
6 P.M.—Evensong and Sermon. Hymns 142, part 1, 179, and 279.

ST. ANDREW'S CHURCH, APOLLO STREET, FORT.
[CHURCH OF SCOTLAND.]

11 A.M.—Morning Service.
Psalm 107, (Tune, Grafenberg—No. 99.)
Hymn 101, (Tune, St. Peter—No. 155.)
Paraphrase 26, (Tune, St. Stephen—No. 156.)
Anthem—"To Him who sits upon the Throne."
6 P.M.—Evening Service.
Hymn 13, (Tune, Harts—No. 271.)
Psalm 119-33 (Tune, Tallis—No. 170.)
Hymn 116, (Tune, Huddersfield—No. 106.)
Anthem—"O how amiable are thy dwellings."
Collections for church expenses.

BAPTIST SERVICES.

7-30 A.M.—At No. 15, Middle Colaba.
6-15 P.M.—At No. 5, Clare Road, Byculla.

IN HARBOUR.

Divine Service on board H.M.S. Auckland, at 11 A.M.
Divine Service at the Reading Room, Parell at 6 P.M., Bombay time.

IMPORTS OF RAW COTTON INTO BOMBAY.

From a statement submitted to Government by Major Moore, Acting Cotton Commissioner, we see that during the weeks ending 24th and 31st May last, 163,702 cwts. of raw cotton were imported into Bombay by water, 900 cwts. of cotton were imported from the Persian Gulf, 36 cwts. from the Madras Presidency, 3,853 cwts. from the province of Sind, 19,337 cwts. from Cutch, 47,794 cwts. from Concan, British, 91,567 cwts. from Gujrat, British, and 215 cwts. from Goa, Damaun and Diu. From another statement we observe that during the weeks ending 18th and 25th May 95,321½ cwts. of raw cotton were imported into Bombay by land, 58,475¼ cwts. of cotton were imported by the B. B. and C. I. Railway Company, 34,488¾ cwts. by the G. I. P. Railway Company, 410 cwts. by the East India Railway, and 1,988¼ cwts. by the Madras Railway.

DR. DE JONGH'S LIGHT-BROWN COD LIVER OIL.—The remarkable medicinal powers of Dr. de Jongh's Oil in the treatment of Infantile Wasting have been the subject of favourable comment from numerous medical men. Amongst these Dr. Stavely King, Physician to the Metropolitan Free Hospital, observes—"I can very conscientiously testify to the superior qualities of Dr. de Jongh's Light-Brown Cod Liver Oil. I have employed it with great advantage in cases of mesenteric and pulmonary tubercle, and in the atrophic diseases of children." Sold only in capsuled imperial half-pint, pint, and quarte, by all Chemists, Druggists and Storekeepers. Sole Consignees, Ansar, Harford, and Co., 77 Strand London.

BOMBAY THEATRE.

THE *Pioneer's* Bombay correspondent says—"It is a shame that, while ladies sit in the theatre, men should smoke their strong cigars and pipes. I counted four cigars blazing away in the pit at one time during an *entr'acte*. I perceive you have noticed a little discussion started in the *Bombay Gazette*, on the question of a new theatre for Bombay. It is seriously needed, and I wish some public-spirited person would take the matter up. When the Dramatic Society has screwed up its courage to the last achievement of histrionic self-sacrifice and shaven off its whiskers and moustaches, as it must if it is to continue in its present line of progress, it will be fit to grace any stage—certainly one better appointed than that of the Grant Road Theatre. There is a new act-drop there which is simply the most fearfully wonderful thing I ever beheld. Hogarth's plate 'Modern Perspective' is a natural and life-like picture in comparison. There is a river with a many-arched bridge crossing it to a nightmare town; on the river there are five steam-boats, not one of which could ever pass through any one of the arches, and the town is composed of trees and the jumble of the oddest buildings ever assembled together. There is the Kootub Minar with the top of Colaba light-house stuck on it; a long factory with Gothic windows, and a corrugated-iron roof is ruled in a hard straight line across the middle, while a terrific conflagration is evidently raging behind; a methodist chapel, several godowns, Bombay swell native bungalows, Mahomedan mosques, hints of temples and Noah's Arks are painted in the sharpest lines with the crudest colours and in apparently wilful defiance of every law of perspective. And in this spectral town there is evidently some mad festivity going on, for at the entrance to the bridge there are immensely high Venetian masts bearing huge tri-colour banners; while every one of the boats on this unknown river also bears a tri-colour flag."

IMPORTANT TO SHIPPERS.

THE other day, in the Small Causes Court, Judge Spencer disposed of the case of Ralli Brothers vs. William Cooper, manager Landing and Shipping Agency. The suit was brought to recover Rs. 120-11-0 for money had and received by the defendant to the use of the plaintiff.

Mr. Jefferson (Jefferson and Payne) for the plaintiffs; Mr. Prescot (Keir, Prescot, and Winter,) for the defendant.

His Honor, in giving decision, said—The plaintiffs in this case seek to recover a sum of Rs. 120 paid by them to the defendant under compulsion, to enable them to obtain delivery of certain cases of merchandize belonging to them. The plaintiffs were consignees of goods shipped at Liverpool, on board the steamers Elgin and European. Under the bills of lading, the goods were to be delivered from the ships' deck, and there was a proviso that, in the event of the consignees not being ready to take delivery of the goods as soon as the ship was ready to discharge them, the shipowners or their agents should be at liberty to land them at the merchants' expense, and have a lien on them for the charges incurred. The plaintiffs therefore were clearly entitled, if they had boats alongside when their goods came to hand, to have them placed in their own boats; and the shipowners or their agents were not authorized to land the goods in boats employed by themselves and claim the charges for so doing, unless the consignee's boats were not ready to take delivery. It appears to be the custom in Bombay for consignees of cargo, on the arrival of a ship, to present their bills of lading to the agents of the ship, accompanied by a delivery order addressed to the commanding officer. The latter of these documents is countersigned by the agents, and it is not usual for the master or mate to deliver goods into the consignees' boats, but to land them in those employed by the agents of the ship, if the delivery order is not countersigned. I apprehend, however, that if a consignee of cargo has, in compliance with the custom of the port, presented the delivery order to the agent for countersignature, and this has been refused, the absence of it would not absolve the master from his liability, under the express terms of his bill of lading, to deliver to the assignee or holder of the bill. The countersignature I take to be only a precautionary measure to ensure the goods reaching the hands of the party entitled to receive them. The Elgin arrived in Bombay on Saturday the 6th of April, and the European on Sunday the 7th of April. The plaintiffs presented delivery orders to the agents of these vessels for countersignature. The agents of the Elgin refused to, the agents of the European did, countersign the orders. Before either ship broke bulk the boats of the plaintiffs' muccadum were alongside. The delivery orders and bills of lading were presented to the commanding officers of the ships, and they were asked to deliver the plaintiffs' goods into the boats sent by them, but this was refused. The plaintiffs' boats remained alongside until all the packages belonging to them were discharged from the ships, and notwithstanding that the plaintiffs' muccadum was ready and willing to take delivery of the packages, none of them were put into his boats, but they were all landed in boats employed by the masters of the ships. The defendant is the manager of an agency which has been established in Bombay for landing and shipping goods. He was employed by the masters of the Elgin and European to land the entire cargoes of those vessels, and it was in his boats that the cargo consigned to the plaintiffs was landed. The defendant states that he looks for payment of his landing charges to the master by whom he is employed, and not to the consignees of the cargo. This may be so in theory, but in practice the mode of obtaining payment is by refusing to deliver the cargo, until the charges claimed are paid by the consignees. After the plaintiffs' goods were landed in the defendant's boats, a demand for them was made on the defendant, but delivery was refused until the landing charges had been paid. On the 11th of April the plaintiffs' solicitors addressed a letter to the defendant, of which the following is a copy—

William Cooper, Esq., Manager of the Bombay Landing and Shipping Agency.

Sir,—With reference to the correspondence which has already passed between Messrs. Ralli Brothers and Messrs. W. and A. Graham and Co., agents of the s.s. European, relative to 168 packages merchandize shipped on board that steamer at Liverpool, to the order of our clients, we are instructed that although our clients' boats were first alongside the steamer and before she had actually broken bulk, and in spite of our client's protests, the Master has landed the goods through your agency, and that you refuse to deliver them to our clients without payment of Rs. 49-11 for landing charges.

Our clients are determined not to submit to this demand, but to obtain possession of their goods wrongfully detained by you. We have advised them to pay you charges under protest, and we accordingly tender you herewith Rs. 49-11 under protest, for repayment of which amount our clients will forthwith commence an action at law against you.—Yours, &c.

JEFFERSON & PAYNE,
Solicitors, High Court.

Bombay, 11th April 1872.

On the 15th of April a similar letter, *mutatis mutandis*, was written with reference to the cargo landed from the Elgin. On payment of the charges demanded the plaintiffs obtained possession of their goods. On this statement of facts it appears to me to be clear that the plaintiffs are entitled to recover from the defendant the amount which has been illegally exacted from them. It was contended by Mr. Prescot, for the defendant, that the money was received by the latter to the use of the masters of the ships, his principals, in discharge of a lien which they had, or supposed they had, on the cargo, and that he had accounted to them for it; that the masters assuming that they had acted unauthorizedly, were not guilty of a tort in landing and detaining the plaintiffs' goods, but of a breach of contract only, and that the action ought to have been brought against the masters, the principals, and would not be against the defendant, who was a mere agent. I must differ from the view which Mr. Prescot takes of the conduct of the masters in this case. In refusing to deliver the plaintiffs' cargo to their muccadum, and landing them in their own boats, and detaining them until the charge for so doing had been paid, the masters were wrong-doers and trespassers, and the defendant, who acted under their directions, or jointly with them, was guilty of a tort also. It cannot be disputed that if the masters themselves had refused to deliver the cargo to the plaintiffs, unless the landing charges had been paid, they might have been sued in trespass or trover; or the plaintiffs might have waived the tort by paying the charges under protest as they have done, and have recovered against them for money had and received. On what principle, then, can the defendant claim to be in a better position than those by whom he was employed, and who could not transfer to him a right which they did not possess themselves? The defendant was a wrong doer in detaining the goods and demanding payment of the landing charges, and cannot relieve himself from liability by paying over the money, or accounting for it, to a third party, as he might have done, had the original demand been lawful. On the ground, therefore, that the masters of the ships were not justified under the bills of lading signed by them, in landing the cargo in boats employed by themselves, when the plaintiffs' boats were alongside, and had no lien on the cargo for the landing charges; and that they could not confer any greater rights on the defendant than they possessed themselves, I am of opinion that the plaintiffs are entitled to recover from the defendant the money paid by them under protest to enable them to obtain possession of their goods.

ROBERT LATIMAR SOUTHERN AND ANOTHER, TRADING BY THEIR CONSTITUTED AGENT, WILLIAM WILSON BAILY, VS. WALLACE AND CO.

IN this case the suit was brought to recover Rs. 33, being the amount paid by plaintiff to defendants under protest, namely, Rs. 25, claimed by defendants for landing charges on 100 packages of merchandize, and Rs. 11 for bunder rent on the said packages.

Mr. Crawford (Leathes and Crawford) appeared on behalf of the defendants.

Mr. Spencer, in disposing of the case, said—The facts of this case are very similar to those of the case I have just decided. The principle involved is identical. The plaintiffs were consignees of 101 packages of merchandise per steam-ship Urbino. The following is one of the conditions in the bill of lading under which the goods were shipped—"The goods are to be discharged from the ship as soon as public intimation is given that she is ready to unload, and if not thereupon removed without delay by the consignee, the master or agent is to be at liberty to land the same * * * at the risk and expense of the owners of the goods." By another condition a lien on the goods was given for the landing charges. The defendants were the agents of the ship. On the arrival of the ship the defendants arranged with their own muccadum to land the entire cargo, and they inserted an advertisement in the newspapers requiring consignees of cargo to take delivery of their goods *at the bunder*. On seeing this advertisement the plaintiffs addressed a letter to the defendants, protesting against the master landing their goods, and demanding that they should be delivered to their own muccadum. They at the same time presented a delivery order for countersignature, and sent their boats alongside. The defendants refused to countersign the order. This was on the morning of the 8th of April. The plaintiff's muccadum demanded delivery of their goods, but the mate refused to deliver them to him until he had obtained the defendant's countersignature to the order. The boats remained alongside until the evening of the 10th of April; on that day the order was again presented for countersignature; it was then countersigned, but in the meantime one hundred packages out of the one hundred and one had been landed in the defendant's boats; the one package remaining was delivered to the plaintiffs' muccadums. The plaintiffs were unable to obtain possession of the one hundred packages until they had paid the landing charges, which they did under protest, and now seek to recover the money so paid. The defence set up was the same as in the case of Ralli Brothers vs. Cooper, just decided, viz., that the defendants acted as agents for the master, and are not therefore liable. For the reasons given by me in deciding that case, I am of opinion that the plaintiffs are entitled to recover.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR AND THE RATEPAYERS' DEPUTATION.

ON Thursday afternoon, the deputation appointed at a public meeting of the ratepayers of Bombay, held in the Framjee Cowasjee Institute on the 21st ult., waited upon His Excellency the Governor at Government House, Parell, to present the memorial adopted by the meeting. The members of the deputation assembled at Mr. Nowrojee Manockjee Wadia's bungalow situated near Government House, to which latter they proceeded in their carriages at 4 p.m. They were received by Captain Jervoise, and were conducted to the outer verandah on the upper storey. His Excellency shortly afterwards appeared with Mr. Lee Warner, Private Secretary, and one or two other members of his Staff. The deputation, the members of which had mustered strong and represented almost every section of the community, was headed by Mr. Lidbetter, Mr. Dadabhoy Nowrojee, and Mr. Nowrojee Furdoonjee.

Mr. Dadabhoy Nowrojee, acting as the spokesman on behalf of the deputation, said—Your Excellency, I am very sorry that, on account of unavoidable circumstances, Mr. Macdonald, the chairman of the ratepayers' committee, is not able to present this petition to your Excellency. It was adopted at a large public meeting of ratepayers held at the Framjee Cowasjee Institute. The deputation here assembled has been appointed by the same meeting. As I was then voted into the chair, I am requested to present this petition to your Excellency with reference to Bill No. 3 of this year. It is signed by about 9,000 persons. The bill recognises the reasonableness of introducing representation. But, Sir, in the opinion of the ratepayers, the proposal as it stands will not give a fair trial. As the views of the ratepayers have been given in some detail in the memorial itself, I do not wish to repeat them. I need simply urge on behalf of the ratepayers this much. Our British rulers have, with the desire which actuates them to raise India, infused into us a new political and intellectual life and raised in us certain aspirations, which are the boast and glory of the British Constitution and the birthright of British subjects. The ratepayers sincerely trust that, considering the undoubted loyalty of the people of Bombay—the importance of Bombay as one of the foremost, if not the foremost city of India, in educational progress and commercial enterprise—seeing that the North-West Provinces already enjoyed representation, and that the Lieutenant-Governor of Bengal "very anxiously" desires for real self-government through elected representatives of municipalities,—your Excellency will think it was time that they should be now allowed to manage their own affairs. When the ratepayers are left to themselves, Government, while released from their responsibility to the ratepayers, will be free to direct and exact a due performance of all their municipal duties. As long as the necessary element of the responsibility of a municipal body to the ratepayers is not fairly brought into action, efficient and economical management will be almost impossible. I have only to urge in conclusion that, in arranging the details of the bill, more attention requires to be paid, so that the effect of the bill may not be unnecessarily oppressive and vexatious to the poorer ratepayers. If in the management of their own affairs the ratepayers fail, it will be quite within the power of your Excellency in Council to make such legislative alterations as experience may show to be necessary. The ratepayers now leave this petition in your Excellency's hands, with perfect confidence that your Excellency will give it due and careful consideration.

His Excellency the Governor, in reply, said the deputation and the ratepayers might rely upon his giving the memorial every consideration according to their request. The principle of the bill, that representation should be accorded, had been settled before His Excellency's arrival in this country, so that it only remained for them to see how to put the bill into such shape as would meet the wishes of the ratepayers and give them sufficient control of their own affairs. They had dwelt, as others had done, upon the representation given to the North-West Provinces. The measure might be good for those provinces; but they are only small towns there, compared with which Bombay is a much larger place and differently situated. The two things are very different, and there can be no fair comparison between them. His Excellency's own view, however, was to give the ratepayers an opportunity of managing their own affairs and exercising sufficient control over their finances, with power to the Government to retrieve their steps if necessary. He considered that this bill should be treated as an experiment; and perhaps it was a good thing that it was limited to a definite period, by the end of which some changes might be deemed necessary, which would be to the advantage both of the ratepayers and the Government. If this view of his met with proper support, His Excellency would give them a chance of making a fair experiment of controlling their finances. His Excellency thought there was no other point on which the members of the deputation wished to speak.

Mr. Lidbetter, who spoke in a very low tone, was understood to refer to the cost of the police, the assessment of tax on Government buildings, and an adjustment of the Vehar Water Works debt as subjects deserving consideration in this connection. Government contributed nothing as tax for the offices they occupied. The Vehar Water Works had been a great drain upon the municipal fund: the cost far exceeded anything which the Municipality had agreed to pay, and was an excessive burden upon the ratepayers. The construction of the works had been wholly managed by Government, and the cost came as a surprise upon the Municipality.

His Excellency said these were questions of detail, into which he could not then enter. A vast deal of money had been spent in creating the Water Works property, and the rates ought to be paid by the people for whom the works had been constructed. Who was to pay the debt if not the people of Bombay? Government could hardly make the people of the Presidency pay for the water supplied to Bombay.

Mr. Dadabhoy Nowrojee, referring to the comparison with the North-West Provinces, said that, with the greater intelligence, enlightenment, and wealth of Bombay—(His Excellency—And greater stakes)—it was the more necessary that the people should have the management of their affairs in their own hands. Mr. Dadabhoy, adverting to the very difficulty which Mr. Lidbetter had noticed regarding the Vehar Water Works, said that if the ratepayers were allowed to manage their own affairs, Government would be relieved of all such difficulties and complaints, and would be able to watch and direct the more freely, and require from the Municipality all that it was necessary they should do. The ratepayers had come out boldly to say that they were quite competent to manage their affairs; and if they mismanaged, they would have only to thank themselves. Government, by acceding to the prayer of the ratepayers, would be relieved of much responsibility and odium.

His Excellency—In this petition do you propose that the members of the Corporation should be wholly elected by the ratepayers, or that some should be nominated by the Government from the Justices?

Mr. Dadabhoy—This petition prays for the election of all the members by the ratepayers according to certain qualifications, whether they be Justices or not.

It was the petition of the Bombay Association which proposed the nomination of a portion by Government.

His Excellency again assured the deputation that he would give the memorial every consideration.

Mr. Dadabhoy Nowrojee expressed thanks to His Excellency for the great courtesy with which he had received the deputation; and the members of the deputation then retired, evidently satisfied with the assurance they had obtained from His Excellency the Governor.

INDIAN ITEMS.

WE learn that the total cost of the new High Court building in Calcutta is eighteen lacs and fifteen thousand rupees.

THE Maharajah of Puttialla has been blessed with another son. This is the second child of His Highness.

THE STENCH ON THE BEACH AT MADRAS.—As there was no offensive smell emitted on Tuesday from the barque Streemoothoo Comarasawmy, the authorities decided not to destroy the wreck any further.

MOOLTAN.—This district seems to be still in a very barbarous state, if one may judge by the number of murders that take place here, there having been no less then thirteen natives hanged for that crime during the last month.

OPENING OF A NEW RAILWAY LINE.—We are told that the new railway line from Mansorah to Damiella, having been successfully laid in a comparatively short time, is now open for traffic; passenger and goods trains are running regularly.

REGIMENTAL NEWSPAPERS.—Recognising the great value of regimental newspapers, we welcome the appearance of the *Regimental Gazetteer* of the 21st Hussars. "Our principal object," we read, "in the starting of this little publication is to afford an opportunity to the soldier of properly employing his spare hours."

A WRESTLER'S OVERTHROW REVENGED.—A great wrestling match took place at Mooltan recently between a Mooltanee and Lahoree, the latter coming off victorious in the encounter, but was nearly beaten to death after by the natives of this place, for which cowardly act several of them have been arrested.

MUSSOORIE.—The *Delhi Gazette's* correspondent in the Hills North of Dehrah says—"Beautiful Mussoorie! sensational Mussoorie! beautiful because thou art full of the lovely fair; sensational, because we have had in one short week no less than a suicide, a murder, and a case of arson. All that is wanting now is an elopement."

NEW PAPER.—We understand that the Rev. Lal Behari Dey will shortly start a Magazine, to be called the *Bengal Magazine*. It will be a monthly review of Indian politics, society and literature. The rev. gentleman has, it seems, secured some of the best native writers as contributors to the new Magazine.—*Indian Mirror*.

DISCOURTESY TO NATIVE PLEADERS.—The Civilian Judge who used insulting language towards a native pleader in the North-West is Mr. Ricketts, Judge of Allahabad, formerly Commissioner of Agra. From what we have heard of Mr. Ricketts, he is a very aristocratic sort of a civilian. It is time he should be taught how to address respectable natives. They are not "janwars," as Mr. Rickets would treat them.—*Indian Mirror*.

A RAILWAY BRIDGE ON FIRE.—At about eight o'clock on the night of Monday last, a little railway bridge, situated between the Salt Cataurs and Tondiarpettah, was observed by the police to be on fire. The police repaired to the spot, and, with the assistance of the natives living near the place, extinguished the flames before much damage was done. About half an hour before the bridge was seen to be on fire a train passed over it.—*Madras Times*.

THE MADRAS MARINE COURT OF ENQUIRY.—In accordance with the order of Government, appointing Captain Thomas Weldon and Lieutenant Henry Morland to conduct an enquiry as to the circumstances under which the Hotspur, the Sir Robert Seppings, the Kingdom of Belgium, the Inverchsie, the Armenian, the John Scott, the Burlington, the Ardbeg and the Misser were wrecked, the Court of Enquiry held its first sitting on Wednesday morning. The evidence of the master of the Kingdom of Belgium, the Government Astronormer, &c., were taken.

LIAKUT ALI.—We remarked some time ago on the delay which had occurred in the trial of Liakat Ali and on the postponement of the Sessions trial till July. We have heard no adequate explanation of the long previous delay, but we learn that the postponement of the final hearing till July was granted at the urgent request of the prisoner himself, who wishes to produce evidence of extenuating circumstances. Notwithstanding the long detention the prisoner has already undergone, we wish the Court could have discovered it to be legal and just to hurry on the trial.—*Pioneer*.

THE ANDAMANS.—Major Playfair, Deputy Superintendent of Port Blair, has proceeded to England. Captain Protheroe has been appointed to officiate for him during his absence. The staff at Port Blair seems to have been augmented in numerical strength. The steamer Undaunted, which brought to the Settlement the Hon. Justice Campbell of the Punjab, is stationed there, for the permanent use of the local authorities. Justice Campbell is putting up with General Stewart. He will shortly submit his report to Government as to the discipline in force amongst the convicts of the Settlement.

RAILWAY COLLISION AT MONTGOMERY.—A collision took place last week between the Lahore and Mooltan trains near Montgomery, owing to the Mooltan train being shunted on to the rails on which the Lahore train was waiting for the up train, which was an hour and a half late on that occasion, owing to having encountered a duststorm on the road, by which the telegraph posts had been blown across the line, to remove which the train had to stop several times. The collision having taken place as both trains were entering and leaving the station, the passengers did not sustain any injuries, but the carriages and one engine were much damaged.—*Delhi Gazette*.

THE CHOLERA AT CAWNPORE.—We were in hopes the epidemic at Cawnpore had ceased: all the late accounts were most favourable as regards the health of the troops, and they were ordered in the latter end of last week in from camp to re-occupy their quarters. We know as a positive fact that every possible precaution had been taken to disinfect the barracks and buildings in which the disease had shown itself; nevertheless, no sooner did the men return than it broke out afresh. On Sunday last eight cases were admitted, three of which proved rapidly fatal. The troops therefore have had again to go under canvas from the infected portion of the barracks. We learn that as long as the wind blew from the west and the air was dry no cholera was present, but suddenly the wind veered round to the east with a dense haze and a heavy damp atmosphere, bringing in its train this terrible scourge.—*Pioneer*.

THE FATWA COLLISION.—A fuller account of the Fatwa collision on the E. I. Railway has since come to hand, to the effect that white No. 11 up goods train was standing or waiting at the Fatwa station, a place of minor importance, an up special goods train, which had been following immediately after, was deliberately allowed to run into the foremost train, in the guards' break-van of which a native doctor was seated, supposed to be Murad Ali, of the Dinapore station, who had been down to Mokameh on a professional visit, and was killed. The description given of the damage done to the wagons is, that besides being completely smashed, some of them were thrown, by the force of the concussion, beyond the wire fencing, the wheels bent into a variety of shapes and angles, the rails and permanent-way destroyed, the engine ditto, and considerable damage done to the goods. Amidst all this it appears a perfect mystery how the driver and his two native firemen of the up special escaped being killed also. However, the matter is now undergoing judicial enquiry before the magistrate of the district.

OFFICIAL PATRONAGE.—Mr. T. A. Madge, head assistant in the Commissariat Audit Office, having about six months ago retired from the service under the Uncovenanted Pension Rules, Mr. G. L. Kerr, the next senior officer in the department, was recommended by Colonel Atkinson, the Controller-General of Commissariat Accounts, for the appointment. Colonel James, the officer in charge of the Commissariat Audit Office, though subordinate to the Controller, considered he had a right to fill up the vacancy himself, inasmuch as he was directly responsible to Government for the efficient working of the office. He did not approve of the appointment of Mr. Kerr and recommended the appointment of Mr. Watson, of the Presidency Executive Commissariat Office. The result was a little paper-war, and the matter was eventually referred for the final orders of the Supreme Government. In the meantime Mr. Kerr was appointed to officiate for Mr. Madge. The Supreme Government, after considering the matter, decided it in favour of Colonel James, and from the first of this month Mr. Watson took over the duties from Mr. Kerr.—*Englishman*.

INGENIOUS PICKPOCKETS.—A very ingenious way of picking pockets—or rather cutting them—was witnessed by a friend the other night at the Railway Station. Two men, who did not look very much like passengers, and on whom the police had reason to keep an eye, were observed to mix and jostle about a group of native females who were awaiting their turn to get into the train; they were watched and apprehended on suspicion. Immediately on being arrested one of the men was observed to throw away something which, after a search, was found to be a *very* sharp piece of steel about an inch long, and of the width of a large pen-knife. This, it was explained to my friend, is kept between the fore and the third fingers, with the sharp edge slightly projecting to cut a knot or a piece of cloth; all that the man had to do was to pass his hand gently over it, and the extreme sharpness of the steel enabled him to divide it into two without the owner being at all aware of having been touched. A native gentleman shortly afterwards came up and showed my friend a leather bag which had been similarly although not effectually served, and not more than a few minutes ago.—*Allahabad Correspondent of Delhi Gazette*.

THE STUDY OF SANSCRIT IN GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS.

THE following is the reply of Mr. Campbell to the Honorary Secretary to the British Indian Association on the subject of the study of Sanscrit in Government Schools:—

I am directed by the Lieutenant-Governor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 2nd May 1872, and to remark as follows.

2. His Honor is much obliged to the Association's expression of opinion on the subject of the study of the Sanscrit and vernacular languages in Government schools, and will give the subject his best consideration. Meantime, His Honor desires me to say that he would not discourage the study of Sanscrit: on the contrary, he would give every encouragement to students who are willing to cultivate it by scholarships and other means; and whenever a sufficient number of students is found at a Government school, he will provide for the teaching of Sanscrit in the upper classes of our schools as well as in our colleges; but the Lieutenant-Governor thinks that the study of Sanscrit should not be compulsory, and should not be imposed on boys of tender years, who have not yet a sufficient knowledge of their own vernacular, and areat the same time learning the elements of English. Such compulsion is the less necessary, as the University, very wisely His Honor thinks, do not insist on Sanscrit at the entrance examination.

3. So far as Normal Schools are concerned, the Lieutenant Governor would adhere to his order that Sanscrit is is not to be taught to Normal scholars. These schols are required to train masters for primary schools; and though some Normal School students may go to higher vernacular masterships, still the preparation of good primary schoolmasters is and must be the main object of our Normal schools. At primary school Sanscrit is not taught, and therefore instruction therein cannot be wanted at Normal schools.

THE FUTURE OF THE NATIVE BAR IN INDIA.

IN France the lawyers have thrown themselves eagerly and hotly into public life; they have attacked successive Governments in a very damaging way; they come to the top in times of revolution, and their enemies accuse them of always furnishing a set of pushing democrats, of self-seeking shallow politicians who are clever enough to pull down, but are utterly without genius of construction. Now the main reason why lawyers in France are restless and worrying politicians is this, that in France, as in India, the bar is no avenue leading to high judicial appointments. In France as in India the Bar and the Bench are two separate departments of the profession of law, and Judges are not necessarily selected from among the most eminent barristers. The consequence is that there exists a feeling rather of antagonism than of sympathy between the Judge and the Pleaders; and the two departments are distracted by a sort of jealousy into different adverse camps. It may be worth while to consider whether the Indian Bar may not be gradually impelled by similar causes and motives into the same sort of antagonism with the Judges and into the same chronic state of war against Government. What we have to fear is that a system which follows the French plan of excluding pleaders from judicial appointments, and of making the magistracy a separate official caste, may in the long run alienate and discontent this important community, so as to tempt them to disaffection and to the habit of political intrigue. If, as we have hinted, European examples prove that an Indian Bar, not professionally allied to the judicature, is likely to affect political agitation and adverse criticism of the Government, then it may be feared that the native *avocat* will prove even a more shallow and less scrupulous politician than his learned brother in France. In France they are called declaimers, men who succeed by their practised facility of utterance, who can do great execution by their tongues, but who have no real faculty of insight or of action. Such persons might easily spring up among the quickwitted voluble natives of this country, who would move the masses by their rhetoric, and might even bring occasional hornet-swarms round the ears of an unready Government. It would be a great misfortune to bring such a class into existence in India, and a still greater misfortune to be forced to quarrel with them.—*Pioneer*.

IRREGULARITIES IN CASHMERE.

A FILE of papers has been placed before us which tend to show that irregularities and oppressions of a very grave character are permitted at Cashmere, to check which, it seems to us that our Government is bound to make a move. British subjects with very large claims against the Cashmere officials, if not against the principality itself, are afraid to show themselves in the territory of His Highness the Maharaja, and so also others with grave grievances of different characters are deterred by fear of dreadful consequences from representing their wrongs. Indeed, the Maharaja is reported to be almost inaccessible to injured people, whether his own subjects or those of the British Government, and his officials have thus great power in their hands, which they exercise frightfully. Before entering into any of the particulars with which we have

THE DAMAGE SUSTAINED BY THE INHABITANTS OF PARIS.

The results of the inquiry made as to the damage sustained by the inhabitants of Paris during the two seiges and the devastation caused by the Commune have been communicated to the Municipal Council by the Prefect of the Seine. The number of claims for compensation were 12,480, representing a sum of four hundred and seven million francs. These demands have been cut down and classified in the three following categories:—Damages caused by foreign war to 1,703 claims, and rather more than two million francs; damages caused by the second siege to 2,436 claims and about nine million francs; injuries done by the Commune to 8,451 claims and fifty-five million francs. These sums, added to a further sum of ten millions for subsequent demands since admitted give a total of seventy-seven millions (£3,080,000), which will be shortly distributed. Out of this amount only two million francs are contributed by the State, the remainder being provided by the City of Paris, and it is proposed to raise the necessary resources by means of ten annuities of eight millions each. A law of the 10th Vendémiaire, year IV., decrees that each commune must bear the cost of any damages caused by insurrectional troubles, but it does not apply to Paris, though at the same time there is nothing in it which stipulates that the State shall be called on to repair the injuries inflicted upon the capital. The municipal council has, therefore, acted wisely in taking upon itself the same burdens which fall on the villages immediately around Paris, instead of engaging in an invidious litigation with the State; the result of which would depend upon how the law mentioned above was interpreted.

THE INDIRECT CLAIMS AND THE SUPPLEMENTARY TREATY.

(*Times*, May 17.)

The hope that the Senate of the United States will sanction the Supplemental Treaty does not grow stronger by delay. It is difficult to secure a vote of two-thirds in its favour, and without the support of two thirds the Treaty will never get beyond the inchoate position of a happy thought offered for the settlement of the difficulty. President Grant will not commit himself to an approval of it; he comes before the Senate seeking their counsel "in advance;" he requests "an expression of their disposition about advising the formal adoption of an Article such as is proposed by England;" and, if this expression of disposition should be satisfactory, he would then, as we suppose, formally adopt the Article and send it to the Senate again for their formal ratification. This roundabout action and circumlocutory language plainly betoken no feeling of confidence on the part of the President; and such evidence as reaches us of the objects of parties in America and of *cliques* in the Senate indicates a similar hesitation, if not unwillingness, to take a decided line in dealing with the new Article. The opponents of General Grant would like to defeat it if they could be sure that the effect of defeating it would not be to fasten upon themselves the task of devising some escape from the existing dilemma under another Administration. They are a little doubtful also whether the sober-judging inhabitants of the States would not regard a repudiation of the Supplemental Article as simply factious, and in consequence transfer their support to President Grant in the coming Presidential election. Altogether, the balance is so even that the veriest trifle might turn the scale in favour of the acceptance or the rejection of the Article.

While the Senators at Washington are, one and all, turning the new Treaty over and over before they can make up their minds about it, we on our side may not be quite unanimous in our opinions respecting it. We cannot examine it at all without remarking that its operative words fall somewhat short of what we had expected. The proposed Treaty consists of two parts, the first containing certain recitals, and the second the suggested agreement arising out of these recitals. First as to the recitals. Let us remember what has been our position in the controversy. We have always relied on the Protocol of the Conference of the Joint High Commission of the 8th of March of last year. It was then that the American Commissioners put into definite form their demands against England, distinguishing between the direct losses occasioned by the Alabama and other cruisers "in the capture and destruction of a large number of vessels with their cargoes, and in the heavy national expenditures in the pursuit of the cruisers," and the indirect injury sustained "in the transfer of a large part of the American commercial marine to the British flag, in the enhanced payments of insurance, in the prolongation of the war, and in the addition of a large sum to the cost of the war and the suppression of the rebellion," and it was at this same Conference, and after making this broad division between Direct Losses and Indirect Injury, that the American Commissioners declared that, "in the hope of an amicable settlement, no estimate was made of the Indirect Losses, without prejudice, however, to the right to indemnification on their account in the event of no such settlement being made." We have always argued that the Treaty of Washington, referred to in its own preamble as "an amicable settlement," was a settlement of the kind contemplated by the American Commissioners on the 8th of March, and that Indirect Claims were therefore excluded from the reference to Arbitration. Such claims were, however, presented in the original Case, and the object of the new Treaty is to get their presentation cancelled. The recital of the Treaty accordingly begins by describing them in the very words of the Protocol of the 8th of March, and so describing them states that the Government of England [here is probably some slip in this phrase] has contended that they were not included in the Treaty of Washington; and, further, that they should not be admitted in principle as growing out of acts committed by vessels alleged to have been enabled to commit them through default of due neutral diligence such as is imputed to Great Britain. The recital goes on to state that the President, while adhering to his contention that the Claims were included in the Treaty, adopts the principle of the second contention so far as to declare it will hereafter guide the conduct of the Government of the United States. The effect of the recital, therefore, is a declaration that the two Governments agree in declaring that the Indirect Claims specified in the Protocol of the 8th of March cannot be demanded from a neutral charged with such default as we are charged with. The recitals are evidently confined to existing circumstances, and the only criticism we offer on them is that, instead of the statement that the new principle "will hereafter guide" the United States, it should have been "shall in the pending arbitration, and hereafter guide" their conduct.

We have analyzed the recitals of the new Article, but it is more essential to examine the meaning of its operative words, that "the President, by and with the advice, &c., consents that *he will make no claim* on the part of the United States in respect of the Indirect Losses, as aforesaid, before the Tribunal of Arbitration at Geneva." Are these words enough? It must ever be remembered that the claim is made when the case on which the original Case was presented, and it will continue to be made so long as that Case remains before the Tribunal without having been modified at the instance and under the authority of the Power which presented it. The covenant in the new Article does not put the United States' Government under any obligation to modify the claim that has been presented. All that it requires—supposing the Article to be ratified if before the 15th of June—would be satisfied if in the written or printed argument then presented by the agent of the United States the Indirect Claims were silently dropped. There is, so far as we can see, no obligation to bring the new Article under the notice of the Tribunal, or to do anything but abstain from presenting Indirect Claims in future. The effect of this would be to put us in that position against which we have strenuously protested. The Tribunal of Arbitrators would have the Indirect Claims before them, and nothing to inform them that it has been agreed to remove the Claims from their jurisdiction beyond silence on the part of the United States in the argumentative summary they are lastly to put in. We cannot understand why the new Treaty was not so drawn as to negative altogether the possibility of this danger. The proper conclusion from its recitals would have been a declaration that "the President, by and with the advice, &c., consents that he will direct the Tribunal of Arbitration at Geneva to set aside from their notice all claims that have been made on the part of the United States in respect of the Indirect Losses, as no longer asserted or demanded by or on the part of the United States." This would have been plain, intelligible, and complete. It may be said that the words of the proposed Article amount practically to the same thing. If they do, we see no reason why we should not have had words of transparent meaning, instead of words the effect of which is not at once obvious; nor could the President have objected to express the same meaning in plainer language. If, on the other hand, it is thought that the President would object, or that the Senate would object, to the definite agreement we have sketched, while both might consent to the agreement which has been offered them, it must be because there is some difference between the two, and it is this difference which disquiets us.

It would be deplorable indeed if, after all our pains, we should find ourselves landed in the position into which we resolved we would never be entrapped. As Mr. Bright put it months ago in his letter to Mr. Cyrus Field, "This country will not go into a Court to ask for an award which, if against it, it will never accept." This can be prevented only by making such an award impossible, and the only way to make such an award impossible is to remove from the Arbitrators the power of making it. They can make an award against us in the matter of the Indirect Claims without in form transgressing the scope of their functions, if these Indirect Claims remain on the record before them without some subsequent intimation from the Power which presented them that they are no longer submitted as within their adjudication. The proposed Supplementary Treaty imposes upon the United States no duty to convey this intimation to the Arbitrators. It would be satisfied if the President refrained from repeating the Indirect Claims at the next stage on the 15th of June; and as this falls short of what we have all along desired, we ask again why the plain meaning of Parliament was not put into plain language? We must not run even the slightest risk of having to contest an adjudication of the Arbitrators made upon the basis of the fact that the Indirect Claims formally advanced in January last have never been formally withdrawn.

The German Professor Creydorff, in his work on the Jesuits in the German Empire, says that in Rome there are two hundred and fortythree illegitimate children born to every one hundred legitimate.

THE DISCUSSION IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS ON THE BANK OF BOMBAY.

HOUSE OF COMMONS, FRIDAY, MAY 3.

Mr. Gregory rose to call the attention of the House to the report of the commissioners appointed to inquire into the failure of the Bank of Bombay, and the position of the shareholders in that bank with reference to the Government of that Presidency, and to move that, in the opinion of this House, the case of such shareholders is one for the favourable consideration of Her Majesty's Government. In the years 1840-43 the Government determined to establish banks in the three presidencies; but he should confine his observations to the Bank of Bombay, which was established in 1840 by an Act of the Legislature of India, with a capital of £520,000. Six of the directors of that bank were appointed by the shareholders, and three by the Government. Down to the year 1860 it might be taken that the bank had carried on a safe and a profitable business; but at that date the Government determined to take away from the bank the power of issuing bank-notes, and in order to compensate for that deprivation they transferred to them the Government business. It being considered necessary that a new Act should be passed in order to place the bank upon an altogether new basis steps were taken to bring the matter before the Legislature of India. And here he must remark that it might be objected that six of the directors being appointed by the shareholders, the latter were not in a position to complain of the acts of their representatives, who not only neglected their duty, but abused the confidence that was placed in them. The House, however, would probably be of opinion that that did not relieve the Government of India from the moral responsibility that rested upon them in this matter. He would proceed to show what were the acts of omission and commission of the Government of India in relation to this subject, the powers they possessed, and in what they neglected to exercise these powers. He desired particularly to impress upon the House the fact that the Government of India was an arbitrary and a despotic Government, and was therefore bound to exercise over its subjects the powers of a paternal Government, and to accept responsibilities and duties in matters with which a representative Government had no concern. The draught of the new Act having been drawn up by a solicitor of the bank, under the orders of the directors was submitted to the law officers of the Government, who inserted a provision to the effect that money should only be advanced by the bank upon certain securities to the extent of three-fourths of their value. That provision was very improperly altered when it came to be reconsidered by the bank authorities. Unfortunately the Government of India determined that the Act should be passed, not by themselves but by the Government of Bombay, a course which had led to all the evils of which he had to complain. The Act having been laid before the Legislative Council, Mr. Robertson, the solicitor for the Government, never called their attention to the objectionable alteration in the provision, which was so inconsistent with the interests of the shareholders. The Act was approved by the Governor in Council in July, 1863, and on being sent home received the approval of the Secretary of State and his Council. It made material alterations in the constitution of the bank as compared with the original Act of 1840, for it gave power to the directors to make advances to any amount to any individual on promissory notes, and on the security of shares in public companies, whether guaranteed or not. It also gave them power to increase the capital without the consent of the Government. The Act as first drawn contained bye-laws which restricted the operation of those powers, but these were struck out by the Legislative Council, a proceeding in itself reasonable, for otherwise they could not have been modified without a fresh Act. A power of making bye-laws was substituted, but that power was never enforced, the Government never taking the trouble to see that it was exercised. Another very proper provision, giving them the right of calling for accounts and inspecting documents, was allowed to remain a dead letter. Thus the Government permitted the passing of an Act which gave power of speculative transactions to any amount; and striking out the schedule of bye-laws they never required bye-laws to be made or called for accounts. The Act was no sooner passed than it was put into operation. Head quarters set the example, the branches rapidly followed, and a system of reckless banking, if banking it could be called, was commenced. Premchund Roychund, a cotton broker, who subsequently became a shareholder of the bank, and a promoter of railways, a man, indeed, mixed up in everything —*omnis novit Græculus esuriens*—was allowed credit to an almost unlimited amount, the power of increasing the capital being also acted upon. On the American War breaking out, and India becoming the source of our cotton supply, reckless advances were made without security, the only restriction placed on the secretary being practically evaded. He regretted also to have to state that the chairman of the bank, one of the Government directors, received allotments of shares by which he realised £37,600, Mr. Robertson, another Government director, being also implicated in similar transactions. Premchund Roychund obtained for himself loans to the extent of £420,000, and for others to the amount of £669,000, by which the bank ultimately lost £434,000. He also obtained for speculative purposes £225,000, the loss on which proved to be £130,000. The transactions he had just described were of a curious character, to say the least of it; and the directors, not satisfied with the loss they had sustained, established a branch in Bombay itself. This was contrary to the charter, and it ought to have been checked by the Government, instead of which this step received the consent of the Governor in Council. In April, 1865, the directors came to a most extraordinary resolution, which was to advance from 50 per cent. to 175 per cent. of the premiums which the shares of the bank commanded. In some instances the premium was £200, of which the directors advanced £175. Such transactions were never before heard of in the history of banking. The result of them was a loss of not less than £134,716. On the 29th of April Mr. Blair resigned; and at this period the bank had lost £1,551,340 by operations of which the commissioners gave a summary in their report. A new phase of the history of the bank commenced in 1865, when the attention of the Government at home was directed to the transactions going on, although the Government of Bombay seemed to have been utterly blind to them, unless it had countenanced them throughout. On the 3rd of March, 1865, Sir Charles Wood wrote to Sir Bartle Frere expressing alarm at the possible consequences of the speculations, mentioning disagreeable rumours, praying that the affairs might be looked into, and urging how desirable it was that the Government should stand clear if anything went wrong. The advice given was very good, and, unfortunately, it had been required earlier to prevent loss. Sir Bartle Frere communicated with Messrs. Chapman and Lushington directing them to look into the affairs of the bank, which they did. There was a meeting of the directors, at which it was resolved that no constituent should be allowed a credit of more than £30,000 without the special sanction of three directors. That was all very well if it had been acted upon two years before; but the loss had been already sustained. The transactions were continued notwithstanding the resolutions, the secretary being Mr. Robinson, who had been a party to Mr. Blair's proceedings. At last a creditor at the bank, named Carnac, failed, and he owed the bank £177,168, but without security. There ensued a panic and a run on the bank, which had less cash in hand than safety required. Application was made to the Governor of Bombay, who telegraphed to the Viceroy, inquiring whether the Government might make an advance to the Bank, and what followed was important, as showing that the bank was regarded not only as a financial concern, but also as a political agent. The run on the bank ceased when it was found that it was to be supported by the Government, and the necessity for rendering pecuniary assistance never arose. The Governor-General had his attention called to the transactions, and he wrote to the Governor of Bombay desiring the Bombay Government to look after the bank, which he understood was making advances on shares, contrary to all banking customs and to the charter of the bank. The note from the Secretary of State of June, 1865, was referred to the Government directors, and the report of the Commission showed how they dealt with it. The facts supported the inference he had drawn that there was not only neglect on the part of the Government of Bombay, but that they did not obtain proper information in answer to the requirements of the Secretary of State and the Governor General. In September and October, 1865, the shares rose in the market, and might have been realised without the enormous losses which were subsequently incurred, but, unfortunately, the bank adopted what was called a policy of advance, and the interests of the shareholders were thereby sacrificed. On the 31st of March the Government directors reported that £79,000 had been realised, that £126,000 remained due, and that £16,000 had not been accounted for. It appeared that in April, 1865, Premchund made application to the Bank of Bombay and other banks for a loan of £250,000, offering certain securities, on which he put a nominal value. One of the Government directors called up on Sir Bartle Frere and spoke to him on the subject. According to the report of the Commissioners, Sir Bartle Frere sanctioned the advance, believing that the transaction was still going on, although, in his opinion, that ought to have been a reason for stopping it. As might have been expected, the securities turned out to be utterly worthless. This was not the only proof of the reckless way in which the business of the bank was conducted. The Governor-General had asked for information specifying the points upon which he desired to be informed, and after much delay the directors reported a loss by advances on shares alone amounting to £116,000, and a further loss by advances on promissory notes to one firm amounting to no less than £891,000. In 1869 the directors reported a total loss on their trading of £1,500,000, but a subsequent fuller investigation disclosed a loss of £1,889,933, involving ruin and desolation on all hands. The conduct of the directors of the bank was without doubt culpable, but no less culpable was the conduct of the Government, which by neglecting to make inquiries enabled the directors to circulate reports giving an altogether false colour to their transactions. Having thus pointed to errors of omission and commission in the conduct of the directors, he therefore asked a favourable consideration for the resolution he was about to propose. He knew he might be told that a favourable consideration meant an advance of money to recoup the shareholders for the loss they had sustained in connection with the business of the bank, and that such advance could only be raised by taxation. He admitted that this was the case, but contended at the same time that he had a right to ask some indemnification from the Government which had received a considerable portion of the money which was squandered by the bank. In 1854-5 the Government threw a considerable quantity of the land into the market, and he believed that a very large quantity of it was purchased by persons who subsequently turned out to be among the largest debtors of the bank, one alone of such persons purchasing to the extent of £400,000. (Hear, hear.) The taxation necessary to produce this indemnity would fall largely upon the people of Bombay, as the Government could not be held personally responsible; the only means of fixing the responsibility was by getting at the Government through the people. The hon. member concluded by moving that in the opinion of this House the case of the shareholders in the Bank of Bombay is one for the favourable consideration of Her Majesty's Government.

Colonel Barttelot, in rising to second the motion, expressed his belief that the case of the shareholders in the Bombay Bank not only deserved, but would receive, the sympathy and the consideration of the House. He had refrained from bringing their case before the House last year only because he could not at that time foresee any practical result. The transactions connected with the bank were as bad as any of which he had ever heard, and all the facts tended conclusively, to his mind at least, to show that the Government at Bombay were responsible for the action of the directors whom they placed in charge of the affairs of the bank. The bank was established in 1840 under a charter which for over twenty years worked well. But a change was made, and on the 13th of January, 1868, there was a frightful collapse. The Government thought the matter so serious that they sent out a Commission under Sir Charles Jackson, and of the two reports made by that Commission, one only had been published. He would ask the hon gentleman what had become of the second report, for when a report which should be published was kept back the natural inference was that it contained something which it was desired to keep secret. (Hear.) Under the new charter speculations were made with this bank in all directions. In the meantime the Government were warned of what was going on, and the most emphatic warning rent to the Government from England, India, and Bombay were utterly disregarded. When Premchund, though hopelessly insolvent and a large debtor to the bank, applied for the loan of £250,000, Sir Bartle Frere, though aware of his insolvency and indebtedness, allowed him to have the £250,000 on the most worthless securities, and, as might have been expected, Premchund's connection with the bank involved the shareholders in a loss of something like £190,000. Not the slightest attempt was made to retrieve the fortunes of the bank, for when certain shares in which the bank was interested rose, no advantage was taken of the market to reduce the losses incurred. He therefore thought that the House had a right to ask for the favourable consideration of the case of the shareholders, many of whom were the widows and orphans of Government officials. (Hear, hear.)

Mr. Grant Duff regretted as much as the hon. gentleman opposite the misfortunes of the shareholders in the Old Bank of Bombay, and if the motion had been brought forward several years ago he would most probably have accepted it, substituting, perhaps, the word "anxious" for the word "favourable." To accept the motion now, after the affairs of the bank had received the most careful and most painful consideration from the Government, without its seeing any way to meet the wishes of the shareholders, would, he feared, be to excite false hopes, and so to inflict a new evil upon persons who had suffered enough already. If the motion meant anything, it meant that the Government of India should take a sum of money out of the pockets of the people of India, and put it into the pockets of the persons who lost money by the failure of the Old Bank of Bombay. But what defence could there be for such a proceeding? Was the proposal an admirable illustration of the saying that A never sees B in distress without wishing C to relieve him? If the resolution had stated that the Bombay Government of six or seven years ago had acted very injudiciously as regards the bank, and that it was the bounden duty of the Home Government of India to take greater care to protect the interests of Government in those banks, then he would have said nothing against it. The motion, however, though not exactly a demand—for the hon. gentleman's resolution was as mild as his tone was courteous—yet it amounted to the expression of a wish for money which could not be honestly given. Why was this motion made in the House of Commons at all? It was notorious that no court of justice would decide in favour of the shareholders. Having no legal claim, they fell back on what they called a moral claim upon the people of India, £110,000 of whose money—far more than was lost by any single shareholder—was lost by the failure of this ill starred bank. The hon. gentleman said the Government of Bombay was responsible. But to whom was it responsible? The Government of Bombay was responsible, not to its fellow-shareholders, but to the Government of India and the Secretary of State in Council for looking after its own shares in the bank and its deposits with the bank. The Court of Directors had always set its face as a flint against giving any guarantee to Presidency Banks. In the year 1806 the Government of Bengal recommended it to establish a bank at Calcutta, the solvency of which was to be guaranteed by the Government. The Court, which had already rejected a similar proposal from Madras, again carefully reconsidered the whole question, and eventually refused to agree to the recommendation. The grounds of the directors' refusal were, first, a determination not to guarantee any bank; and, secondly, a dislike to being partners in any bank. On the latter ground they did not stand very firm, and gave the Government of Bengal a latitude to subscribe £100,000 to the capital of the proposed bank, if it could not be founded without that help; but on the former they stood perfectly firm. They would hear nothing of any guarantee. Nor did the Court depart from this wise policy in sanctioning the establishment of the Old Bank of Bombay. In the latter years of the bank's existence most of the directors were very inefficient, selected as they were, and most in the nature of things have been, from men who had no experience in banking; but they were put there to look after the heavy stake of the Government *qua* shareholder—not the interest of its fellow shareholders, who were represented by six directors of their own, able at any time to outvote the Government directors, and who as commercial men were likely to know more of commercial matters and to take the lead, as in fact they did. But it was attempted to fix responsibility on the Government, because the Act of Incorporation of 1840 entirely precluded the bank from being engaged in any business of an unsafe or speculative character. In the first place the fact was otherwise. The Act of 1840 left it open, for example, to discount any amount of bad bills. If the bank had had the misfortune to fall in the earlier years of its existence into the hands into which it fell in its latter, its ruin might have been antedated by nearly a quarter of a century. In the second place, even if the facts had been as alleged, it would not have supported the inference. The Government, even if it had made it impossible for the bank to discount bad bills—an impracticable feat—would only have done so in its own interest, or rather in the interest of those for whom it was acting—the great public of taxpayers not the little public of shareholders. Such being the state of things under the Act of 1840, was there anything in Act 10 of 1863—once so popular with the bank shareholders, but so much attacked after the event—or was there anything in the circumstances connected with its passing that altered the relations of the Government to its fellow shareholders? He thought not. And here let him pause to note a most strange fact—that the very people who wished for the legislation of 1863, accepted the new character, and took all the advantages under it including dividends at the rate of 12½ and 16 per cent., were actually coming whining to Parliament and complaining of the result of their own actions. There might be some ground for saying that the Bombay Government, or even then Secretary of State, should have so far distrusted the wisdom of the mercantile community of Bombay as to have forbidden the slightest relaxation in the terms of the old charter. But was it for the people who accepted the new charter to complain? Who was it who initiated the changes in the Act regulating the old Bank of Bombay? It was the Board of Directors, not the Government, who had prepared the draught Act—the solicitors to the direction of the bank, not the Government solicitors. Who was it who struck out of the original draught the words the absence of which afterwards led to so much mischief? Were they members of the Government?—were they appointed by the Government? They were not members of the Government; they were two commercial directors, Messrs. Scott and Fogg. They were appointed to be a committee for the preparation of the Act by the directors, not by the Government. Who was it who ratified the striking out of those important words? Was it the Government? No, it was a special general meeting of the shareholders, called on the 23th of November, 1861, not by the Government, but by the directors. It was not till after the affair had gone a very long way indeed that the Government had anything whatever to do with the matter, and when it did appear on the scene it erred, not, like the shareholders, who were "making haste to be rich," by commission, but by omission. He was not defending the Bombay Government, which, although it took the best banking advice within its reach—which was not saying much—failed to secure the interests of the people of India, which were represented by £120,000 embarked in the old Bank of Bombay; but its fellow-shareholders, who preferred go-ahead directors, and would have called the Government red-tapist and tyrannical if it had checked them sooner, were not the persons to cast stones at it. They were far more culpable; and if it was said that some of them were living at a distance, and could not exercise effectual control, might not the same thing have been said of hundreds and hundreds of persons who lost their money in the numerous great concerns which went crashing down here in England in 1866? The people at a distance were concluded by the acts of the people on the spot who were largely engaged in the wild speculations of the time; and the House must not forget that many of the Bombay Bank's shareholders were concerned in the very speculations that ruined them as shareholders. But, even as to those who had neither part nor lot in the wilder speculations, was it not true that persons who put their money into banks, if they were in their senses, made their arrangements accordingly? They expected high interest, but they did not expect security equal to that of the Funds or of other steady going investments. Much was made in all discussions of that kind of the losses of the widow and the orphan, but those were not the true friends of the widow and the orphan who encouraged them to get 16 per cent. for their money. There was another point in that question to which he thought the hon. member had hardly paid sufficient attention. Supposing even that a moral claim were made out for some persons, who would those persons be? Would they be those who happened to be the shareholders at the moment the bank broke, or would they be the persons who held shares of the old Bank of Bombay at this moment? He thought the hon. member would find that even if he succeeded in forcing the Government to commit the great wrong of robbing the people of India to pay the Bombay Bank shareholders, the persons benefited would consist largely of persons who had bought the shares for a mere song, on the chance of something being done by the Government. To sum up, it seemed to him that the Bombay Government was overtrustful and injudicious, as well as most unfortunate, but that, with regard to its fellow-shareholders, its conduct was *damnum sine injuria*. How was it that the commercial directors, who were twice as numerous as the Government directors, never found out the kind of man they had for a secretary? Each of the parties concerned—the Government of Bombay and the shareholders—had great powers, which powers, if properly exercised, might have saved the bank, and each party had neglected its respective duties; but the people of India had done no wrong, while they had lost a great deal; and the least reasonable course that could be proposed would be to compensate one of the guilty parties, not out of the pocket of the other—for nobody proposed to confiscate by Act of Parliament the property of the persons more or less connected with the Bombay Government who had to do with the misfortunes of the old Bank of Bombay—but out of the pocket of perfectly innocent persons, who had neither directly nor indirectly anything whatever to do with the whole transaction. He repeated that he was not defending the Government of Bombay. (Hear, hear.) The Government of Bombay was in 1866 in the hands of a man who knew well how to defend himself. He was defending nothing and nobody but the pockets of the people of India. Yet his hon. friend must remember that he was looking at those affairs after they had been examined and thrashed out by a commission which had sat for about a year. The Bombay Government, which had many other things to attend to, was looking at them while a great number of persons were interested in deliberately hoodwinking it, and while many more were as much blinded by sanguine hopes as were people in England at the very same time, and up to the great Overend-Gurney crash.

(To be Continued.)

JOHN TRUMAN'S DIARY.

(*Vanity Fair.*)

Monday, May 6, 1872.—The contents of the Duke de Persigny's fine house at Chamaraude are to be sold off today, and another of the great fortunes of the Second Empire is about to be distributed in fragments. It was a very good business to serve the Second Empire, and Napoleon III. had a truly imperial way of rewarding his adherents. The Duc de Persigny was a faithful friend, not a wise one. His latest letters to his master were weak and pitiable: always foreboding disaster, yet giving no hint as to how it might be averted. He was himself a man of many griefs, domestic and otherwise; a flimsy person, both frivolous and dejected. He had been tried in every office, and in every office found wanting. But to the last he had an almost pathetic belief in his own wit, and seemed to think wit indispensable in a duke and a Frenchman. An English duke would have known better. No duller or more respected members of society than our British Graces. There are some smart things in the sale which takes place to-day, especially several authentic historical portraits. I have requested Bertrand to bid for one or two of them. The large estate in Britanny left by the Princess Bacciochi to the Prince Imperial is also privately announced for sale. To what defence could there be for such a proceeding? Was the proposal an admirable illustration of the saying that A never sees B in distress without wishing C to relieve him? If the resolution had stated that the Bombay Government of six or seven years ago had acted very injudiciously as regards the bank, and that it was the bounden duty of the Home Government of India to take greater care to protect the interests of Government in those banks, then he would have said nothing against it. The motion, however, though not exactly a demand—for the hon. gentleman's resolution was as mild as his tone was courteous—yet it amounted to the expression of a wish for money which could not be honestly given. Why was this motion made in the House of Commons at all? It was notorious that no court of justice would decide in favour of the shareholders. Having no legal claim, they fell back on what they called a moral claim upon the people of India, £110,000 of whose money—far more than was lost by any single shareholder—was lost by the failure of this ill starred bank. The hon. gentleman said the Government of Bombay was responsible. But to whom was it responsible? The Government of Bombay was responsible, not to its fellow-shareholders, but to the Government of India and the Secretary of State in Council for looking after its own shares in the bank and its deposits with the bank. The Court of Directors had always set its face as a flint against giving any guarantee to Presidency Banks. In the year 1806 the Government of Bengal recommended it to establish a bank at Calcutta, the solvency of which was to be guaranteed by the Government. The Court, which had already rejected a similar proposal from Madras, again carefully reconsidered the whole question, and eventually refused to agree to the recommendation. The grounds of the directors' refusal were, first, a determination not to guarantee any bank; and, secondly, a dislike to being partners in any bank. On the latter ground they did not stand very firm, and gave the Government of Bengal a latitude to subscribe £100,000 to the capital of the proposed bank, if it could not be founded without that help; but on the former they stood perfectly firm. They would hear nothing of any guarantee. Nor did the Court depart from this wise policy in sanctioning the establishment of the Old Bank of Bombay. In the latter years of the bank's existence most of the directors were very inefficient, selected as they were, and most in the nature of things have been, from men who had no experience in banking; but they were put there to look after the heavy stake of the Government *qua* shareholder—not the interest of its fellow shareholders, who were represented by six directors of their own, able at any time to outvote the Government directors, and who as commercial men were likely to know more of commercial matters and to take the lead, as in fact they did. But it was attempted to fix responsibility on the Government, because the Act of Incorporation of 1840 entirely precluded the bank from being engaged in any business of an unsafe or speculative character. In the first place the fact was otherwise. The Act of 1840 left it open, for example, to discount any amount of bad bills. If the bank had had the misfortune to fall in the earlier years of its existence into the hands into which it fell in its latter, its ruin might have been antedated by nearly a quarter of a century. In the second place, even if the facts had been as alleged, it would not have supported the inference. The Government, even if it had made it impossible for the bank to discount bad bills—an impracticable feat—would only have done so in its own interest, or rather in the interest of those for whom it was acting—the great public of taxpayers not the little public of shareholders. Such being the state of things under the Act of 1840, was there anything in Act 10 of 1863—once so popular with the bank shareholders, but so much attacked after the event—or was there anything in the circumstances connected with its passing that altered the relations of the Government to its fellow shareholders? He thought not. And here let him pause to note a most strange fact—that the very people who wished for the legislation of 1863, accepted the new character, and took all the advantages under it including dividends at the rate of 12½ and 16 per cent., were actually coming whining to Parliament and complaining of the result of their own actions. There might be some ground for saying that the Bombay Government, or even then Secretary of State, should have so far distrusted the wisdom of the mercantile community of Bombay as to have forbidden the slightest relaxation in the terms of the old charter. But was it for the people who accepted the new charter to complain? Who was it who initiated the changes in the Act regulating the old Bank of Bombay? It was the Board of Directors, not the Government, who had prepared the draught Act—the solicitors to the direction of the bank, not the Government solicitors. Who was it who struck out of the original draught the words the absence of which afterwards led to so much mischief? Were they members of the Government?—were they appointed by the Government? They were not members of the Government; they were two commercial directors, Messrs. Scott and Fogg. They were appointed to be a committee for the preparation of the Act by the directors, not by the Government. Who was it who ratified the striking out of those important words? Was it the Government? No, it was a special general meeting of the shareholders, called on the 23th of November, 1861, not by the Government, but by the directors. It was not till after the affair had gone a very long way indeed that the Government had anything whatever to do with the matter, and when it did appear on the scene it erred, not, like the shareholders, who were "making haste to be rich," by commission, but by omission. He was not defending the Bombay Government, which, although it took the best banking advice within its reach—which was not saying much—failed to secure the interests of the people of India, which were represented by £120,000 embarked in the old Bank of Bombay; but its fellow-shareholders, who preferred go-ahead directors, and would have called the Government red-tapist and tyrannical if it had checked them sooner, were not the persons to cast stones at it. They were far more culpable; and if it was said that some of them were living at a distance, and could not exercise effectual control, might not the same thing have been said of hundreds and hundreds of persons who lost their money in the numerous great concerns which went crashing down here in England in 1866? The people at a distance were concluded by the acts of the people on the spot who were largely engaged in the wild speculations of the time; and the House must not forget that many of the Bombay Bank's shareholders were concerned in the very speculations that ruined them as shareholders. But, even as to those who had neither part nor lot in the wilder speculations, was it not true that persons who put their money into banks, if they were in their senses, made their arrangements accordingly? They expected high interest, but they did not expect security equal to that of the Funds or of other steady going investments. Much was made in all discussions of that kind of the losses of the widow and the orphan, but those were not the true friends of the widow and the orphan who encouraged them to get 16 per cent. for their money. There was another point in that question to which he thought the hon. member had

BANKS.

COMPTOIR D'ESCOMPTE DE PARIS.

HEAD OFFICE:
PARIS.

SUBSCRIBED and PAID-UP CAPITAL £3,200,000
RESERVE FUND ..... £ 800,000

LONDON BANKERS:
THE UNION BANK OF LONDON.

CURRENT ACCOUNTS.

INTEREST is allowed at TWO PER CENT. on daily balances.

DEPOSIT ACCOUNTS.

INTEREST at THREE PER CENT. allowed on money placed in Deposit at seven days' notice.

INTEREST at FOUR PER CENT., subject to six months' notice of WITHDRAWAL.

LOANS granted on French Rente and other approved Securities.

All remittances to be endorsed "PAY COMPTOIR D'ESCOMPTE DE PARIS, OR ORDER."

HENRI DUVAL, Acting Manager.
14, Rampart Row, Bombay, 31st January 1872.

CHARTERED BANK OF INDIA, AUSTRALIA, AND CHINA.

HEAD OFFICE.
HATTON COURT, THREADNEEDLE STREET, LONDON.
INCORPORATED BY ROYAL CHARTER.

PAID-UP CAPITAL—£800,000.

LONDON BANKERS—THE CITY BANK.
BRANCHES AND AGENCIES.

BOMBAY	RANGOON	HONGKONG
CALCUTTA	SINGAPORE	SHANGHAI
AKYAB	BATAVIA	HANKOW

THE BANK'S AGENCY IN BOMBAY

GRANTS DRAFTS ON ITS HEAD OFFICE AND LONDON BANKERS, AS WELL AS ON THE OTHER AGENCIES AND BRANCHES;

NEGOTIATES, AND RECEIVES FOR COLLECTION, BILLS OF EXCHANGE; EFFECTS REMITTANCES IN THE BANK'S OWN DRAFTS, WITHOUT CHARGE FOR COMMISSION; AND CONDUCTS ALL KINDS OF GENERAL BANKING BUSINESS.

INTEREST

On Current Deposit Accounts interest is allowed at 2 per cent. per annum, on the minimum Monthly Balances, up to Rs. one lac, but no interest will be allowed on balances of less than Rs. 1,000.

DEPOSITS OF MONEY

ARE RECEIVED AT CALL AND FOR FIXED PERIODS, ON TERMS WHICH MAY BE LEARNED ON APPLICATION.

All Letters should be addressed, and Remittances made payable, to THE CHARTERED BANK OF INDIA, AUSTRALIA, AND CHINA.

JAMES DOUGLAS, Agent in Bombay.

HOUSES, &c., TO LET.

STABLES TO LET.—Very airy and commodious STABLES TO LET, close to Elphinstone Circle. Apply at 107, Mody Street, to

M. H. MODY.

TO LET.
ALBION PLACE, PARELL ROAD.

THE following REDUCED RENTS are now charged, including taxes and Vehar water:—

Single sets of Rooms including separate Bath and Cookroom.
Ground Floor ..... Rs. 25
First Floor ..... „ 35
Top Floor ..... „ 20

These are the cheapest, coolest, and healthiest European residences in Bombay, and are well adapted for small families and bachelors.

Application to be made by letter to The Secretary, No. 1, Albion Place, or to the Sepoy on the premises.

FOR SALE.

FOR SALE,
AT THE "BOMBAY GAZETTE" OFFICE,
A FEW REAMS of first quality CREAM LAID DEMY, 21 lbs.—size 23 by 17½ inch.

FOR SALE,
AT THE "BOMBAY GAZETTE" OFFICE.

LITHOGRAPHIC STONES.

RED PRINTING INK
AND
PRINTERS' VARNISH.

ALSO,
SECOND-HAND STEAMER BLOCKS AND SHIP BLOCKS.

Apply to the Manager, *Bombay Gazette* Office, Fort, Bombay.

FOR SALE.
GUNS, RIFLES, &c.

MR. W. W. GREENER, Birmingham, has consigned for sale to Messrs. GRINDLAY, GROOM, & Co. the following GUNS and RIFLES, manufactured by him, suitable for Indian sport, and warrants the shooting of the Rifles to be accurate, with a flat trajectory, and the Guns to shoot close and strong—

1 Double-barrel Breech Loading Rifle, C. F. Patent Strikers, No. 3,780, 16 Bore	Rs. 412
1 Double-barrel Breech Loading for Snider ammunition, No. 9,436 ('577) 26 Inches	300
1 Double-barrel Breech Loading, No. 10,484 with ammunition	250
1 Double-barrel Breech Loading Gun, No. 11,791, C. F. Patent Strikers, 12 by 30	355
1 Double-barrel Breech Loading Gun, No. 11,843, C. F. Patent Strikers, 12 Bore	310
1 Double-barrel Breech Loading Gun, No. 11,829, C. F. Patent Strikers, 12 by 30	310
1 Double-barrel Breech Loading Gun, No. 10,520, C. F. Patent Strikers, 12 by 30	220
1 Double-barrel Breech Loading Gun, No. 10,521, C. F. Patent Strikers, 12 by 30	220
Sporting Single Henry-Martini Rifles	165
Sporting Snipers with cessors, powder measure and slings	85
„ „ Pistol Hand Stock with apparatus	100
„ „ Scroll Grip	80